


UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE MADRID  
ESCUELA POLITÉCNICA SUPERIOR



Ph.D. Thesis

# **MODULAR ROBOTICS AND LOCOMOTION: APPLICATION TO LIMBLESS ROBOTS**



Author:  
JUAN GONZÁLEZ GÓMEZ  
Telecommunication Engineer

Supervisor:  
Dr. EDUARDO BOEMO SCALVINONI

Translator:  
TREVOR WALTER ROUTLEY

Madrid, 2008



# Acknowledgements

So many people have helped me, guided me and motivated me during these years of doctoral study that there is no room to express my thanks to all of them.

Firstly I would like to thank my supervisor, Eduardo Boemo who had confidence in me and permitted me to pioneer the investigation in Spain of Modular Robotics. Many thanks as well to Francisco Gómez (Paco) for his recommendations, his constant interest and his corrections. For me he has been like a second director of studies. Also thanks are due to professor Andrés Perez-Urbe of the Haute Ecole d'Ingnierie et de Gestion du Canton de Vaud (HEIG-VD), Switzerland and professor Jianwei Zhang of Hamburg University for his favourable comments. Many thanks also to Dave Calkins of San Francisco State University for writing the fantastic prologue to the thesis.

Many thanks to all my colleagues in the laboratory: Sergio López-Buedo, the great living encyclopaedia who was always willing to share freely of his knowledge and help. Gustavo Sutter and Elías Todorovich for all the good advice and suggestions that they have given me. Estanislao Aguayo and Jose Alberto Hernández for their help with Octave/Matlab and why not, for Iván González, with whom I have shared conversations, congresses, summer courses, times of relaxation, and why not admit it, the occasional party.

I want to also thank Miguel Ángel García for all his help and support, Susana Holgado, Javier Garrido and Guillermo González de Rivera for including me in the robotics workshops and other robotics information events at EPS, Pablo Varona, Francisco de Borja and Fernando Herrero for their interest in my investigations and for opening the doors to computational neuroscience and Profesor Miguel López of the Biology Faculty for given his valuable time to advise me. Also many thanks to Juana Calle for her help in fighting 'red tape'.

Many thanks to all my colleagues of the TAMS group of the University of Hamburg, for the way in which they received me and the good times we had together: Tim Baier, Sascha Jockel, Andreas Mäder, Manfred Grove and Daniel Westhoff. Special thanks to Tatjana Tetsis (Lu) for her logistic help and to Professor Jianwe Zhang for allowing me to be part of his group for three months. I am specially indebted to Houxiang Zhang who adopted me as his disciple and shared with me his wisdom. Since then we have continued to work together.

I must thank all those who have invited me to demonstrate my robots in different events, congresses and seminars, as well as all those who have freely given of their time to show me around their laboratories, share with me their investigations and advise me. Arturo Morgado of the UCA (Cádiz), Julio Pastor of the UAH (Alcalá de Henares), Javier de Lope of the UPM, Fernando Remiro of the IES Juan de la Cierva, Cristina Urdiales of the UMA (Málaga), Juan Pablo Rozas of the UCLM (Ciudad Real), Vicente Matellán of the Universidad de León, Jose María Cañas of the URJC, Juan Carlos Pérez of the ITI (Valencia), Gloria Martínez of the UJI (Castellón), Javier Asensi of Eventronic (Alicante), Frank Kirchner, Dirk Spenneberg and Jose de Gea of the University of Bremen and Erik Maehle and Adam El Sayed of the University of Lübeck.

This thesis would not have been possible without the input of those robotics fanatics who share my passion and those of whom I have learnt so much in informal occasions. Jose Pichardo, Ricardo Gómez, Jose Jaime Ariza, Ángel Hernández (Mif), Javier Herrero, David Yáñez, Iñaki Navarro, Isaac Barona and in general all the companions of ARDE. Many thanks also to Chris for all his comments. Rafael Treviño merits a special mention for the MRSuite tool design, not only has he been inspirational, but also of enormous help in the thesis. Many thanks.

I would like to give special thanks to two people for their help during these years and for all that I have learnt from them. One is Alejandro Alonso with whom I have shared conferences and congresses, very interesting conversations and visits to research centres. The other is Andrés Prieto-Moreno with whom I have been collaborating for more than 14 years. He is a never failing fount of information and inspiration and knows how to give to his designs that grace that makes them seem so simple. Many thanks.

Finally I must give thanks to my parents, Juan and Virginia and my sister Virginia, for all their unconditional help and the effort they have made so that I can sit here writing these lines. Most of all my fulsome gratitude to Mercedes, my wife, for the sacrifice she has made so that I could work on the thesis. Thank you for being there in the most difficult moments ;-)

Juan,  
Madrid, September 2008

# Preface

Snakes aren't the kind of cuisine most people look for when ordering, but the speciality of the house was Juan González-Gómez's amazing servo-driven snakebot. All snake robots I've ever seen –even Gavin Miller's amazing bots- cheat. They replicate a snake's motion, be it sinusoidal, caterpillar, or side-winding, but always with wheels on the bottom to eliminate friction and help the bot along. Gonzalez, however, perfected a system that most closely replicates how snakes really move. There are no wheels on his robots. Just his own servo housings. Watching a snake robot skitter across the floor is always cool. But when you pick up Juan's bot and realize that it's got no wheels and can still move the same way any snake can, you're truly awed. Even more inspiring is the fact that his bots are totally modular. You can have as few as two modules or as many as 256 – good for both garter snakes and anacondas.

*Dave Calkins,*

President of the Robotics Society of America,

Lecturer of the Computer Engineering Program at San Francisco State University

Founder of ROBOLympics/RoboGames - the International all-events robot competition



# Abstract

This thesis deals with the locomotion of modular robots concentrating specifically on the study of configurations with one dimensional topology, that we call apodal robots. The problem we face is how to co-ordinate the movement of the articulations of these robots so that they can move as easily in one as in two dimensions.

One of the biggest challenges is to develop a robot that is as versatile as possible and is able to move from one place to another over various types of terrain, even the roughest and most broken. This is of special importance where the environment is unknown, such as the exploration of the surface of other planets, navigation in hostile environments or in search and rescue operations.

To increase versatility of movement, modular robotics proposes the creation of robots based on basic modules. Each configuration would have different locomotive characteristics that must be studied. If also the modules were self configuring, the robots could constantly be selecting the optimum configuration for each environment.

One type of controller used is bio-inspired, based on CPG (Central Pattern Generators), these are specialised neurones that produce rhythms that control muscle activity in living beings. In the stationary state they act like fixed frequency oscillators which permits them to be substituted by a simplified model formed by sinusoidal generators. The advantage is that they are extremely simple to implement and require very few resources for their production. What is more they can be produced employing different technologies: software, digital circuits or even electro-analogical.

In this thesis a classification of the modular robots is established, according to their topology and type of connection and the hypothesis is presented to use sinusoidal generators as locomotion controllers for the apodal modular robots with one dimensional topology, of the groups pitch-pitch and pitch-yaw. The results show that this simplified model is viable and the movements obtained are very gentle and natural. The robots can move using at least five gaits. Some of them, such as rotation, are original, and as far as we know, have not been studied before nor implemented by other investigators.

Another problem that presents itself is that of the minimum configurations. To find the robots with the least number of modules possible that can move in one or two dimensions. Two minimum configurations capable of this and the relationship between their parameters have been found.

It has been shown that the answers found to the problem of co-ordination are valid for their use in real robots. They have been tested in four prototypes of apodal robots constructed on the basis of the union of Y1 modules, designed specifically for this thesis. The verifying of robots with a different number of modules has been carried out using the simulator developed for this purpose.

Finally the knowledge about the locomotion of apodal robots of the study groups has been resumed in 27 fundamental principals.



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Presentation . . . . .	3
1.2	Aims of the thesis . . . . .	6
1.3	Structure of the Document . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>The scientific-technological frame</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	11
2.2	Locomotion . . . . .	12
2.3	Apodal robots . . . . .	16
2.4	Self-propelled apodal robots . . . . .	22
2.5	Modular robots and locomotion . . . . .	25
2.6	Modular Robots and Structures . . . . .	36
2.7	Classification of the modular robots . . . . .	40
2.8	Co-ordination and locomotion . . . . .	44
2.9	Modular robots applications . . . . .	49
2.10	Conclusions . . . . .	49



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

*“My work is a game, but a serious game.”*

*– M.C. Escher.*

In this introductory chapter the application environment for this thesis is presented, its aims and how the memory content has been organised. In the following chapter the context is described in more detail and the bibliography is given.

### 1.1 Presentation

This thesis deals with the locomotion of modular robots concentrating specifically on the study of configurations with one dimensional topology, what are called apodal robots. The problem faced is how to co-ordinate the movement of the articulations of these robots so that they can move as easily in one as in two dimensions.

Locomotion is the capability that permits living beings belonging to the animal kingdom to move from one place to another at will. There are two important aspects to have in mind: control and voluntariness. If the movement is to be considered locomotion the individual has to want to complete it and what is more be able to control it. In this way, the water lilies that rest on the surface of the water move driven by the currents or other animals, but it is not considered locomotion because it is neither voluntary or controlled. The robots that possess locomotive capacity are called mobile robots. The field of robotics that studies and designs robots capable of functioning for themselves in unknown environments is known as mobile robotics.

The study of locomotion is divided into two levels, called superior and inferior. The inferior level is in charge of the control and co-ordination of the actuators so that the robot can move from place

to place. It includes the different gaits that can be obtained (turns, moving in a straight line, side-ways movements, etc.). The questions to be resolved at this level are: How do I move? How do I co-ordinate the actuators to take a step? It is easy to resolve them if the robot has wheels or caterpillar tracts and the terrain is appropriate. It is sufficient to turn the motors to obtain the movement required. Nevertheless, when the robot has articulated feet or has to complete the manoeuvre using body motions, as is the case of apodal robots, resolving the difficulty is complicated. In these cases it is necessary to co-ordinate correctly all the articulations. The superior level is in charge of planning the routes, navigation and other higher level tasks. It is concerned with voluntariness. The questions that define this level are: Where do I want to go? How do I get there?

*This thesis concentrates on the lower level of locomotion addressing the problem of the co-ordination to obtain different ways of movement for apodal robots.*

One of the biggest challenges is to develop a robot that is as versatile as possible and is able to move from one place to another over various types of terrain, even the steepest and most broken. This is of special importance where the environment is unknown, such as the exploration of the surface of other planets, navigation in hostile environments or in search and rescue operations. Up to now the robots that have been made have less locomotive capacity than a mammal. Even when they are operated by remote control, where the superior level is carried out by a human, mobility is limited by the robot's design. To improve it raises the questions: What kind of elements are best: feet, wheels, caterpillar tracks, etc.? What configuration of feet must be used?

The traditional approach is to study *a priori* the characteristics of the terrain and design the most adequate structure of robot: whether it uses feet, wheels, or caterpillar tracks. This has the disadvantage that a wrong choice at this level will imply redesigning the robot. Also applications exist where the environment is changeable or unknown.

In 1994, Mark Yim, in his doctoral thesis, proposed a new approach. He proposed constructing robots employing simple modules, joined one to another to make up different configurations. Maximum versatility would be obtained if these modular robots were able to configure themselves. In this way the robots could change their form to enable them to move in the most efficient way, according to the terrain. To illustrate this idea, Yim proposed a setting in which a robot had to go from his laboratory in Stanford to a neighbouring building. To do this it had to cross the porch, pass underneath the railings, go down a step and cross broken ground. None of the robots known up to then could do it, even the remote controlled ones failed. Nevertheless a self configuring modular robot could adapt its form to cross the porch, following that it would become a worm to go underneath the railing and down the step. Finally it would transform itself into a quadruped to move across the broken ground. It is a robot that has used three different forms of locomotion. It has adapted to the terrain to move across it in the most efficient way possible.

A new area of investigation was born: modular robotics and locomotion. In this the basic modules are designed, and then based on them different configurations of robots are created. Each one will

have different locomotive characteristics. If also the modules are self configuring, the robots will be able to select at each moment the configuration best suited for each environment. The aim is to study the locomotive properties of all possible configurations. This is a titanic task, given that the quantity of potential configurations grows exponentially with the number of modules.

As a first step the modular robots can be classified according to their topological dimensions, of one, two or three dimensions. Each one of these groups has different characteristics and within each family sub-families appear with other properties.

This thesis studies modular robots with one dimensional topology. Within this family the way that the modules are connected between themselves defines three distinct groups. The groups that we will concentrated on are those with connection pitch-pitch and pitch-yaw.

*This thesis studies the problem of the co-ordination needed so that apodal modular robots with one dimensional topology, of the groups pitch-pitch and pitch-yaw, can move in one or two dimensions respectively.*

Another important aspect in the locomotion of the modular robots is the controller that is employed. Its mission is to calculate the positions of the articulations at each moment, in function of established parameters. The classical solution is to employ specific controllers that obtain the angles of the articulations by means of inverse kinematics. As an entrance the curves of the trajectory are used (either from the centre of the masses or from the extremes of the feet, if they have them) and the positions of the servos are obtained. This approach presents two problems when applied to modular robots. On one hand these controllers are too specific, which makes it difficult to re-use them in other configurations. Each configuration has its own kinematics and consequently its own equations, therefore each controller will be different. On the other hand, the calculating power necessary is high. Inverse kinematics demands many calculations that must be done quickly, which restricts the choice of microprocessor and its operational speed.

Another, different approach, is to use bio-inspired controllers. Millions of years ago nature resolved the problem of locomotion of living beings. Why not study how it has resolved it and find inspiration there. In the 60's biologists discovered that living beings possessed specialised neurones, called central pattern generators (CPGs). These centres produce rhythms that control muscular activity to carry out vital functions, such as breathing, bowel movements, chewing, locomotion, etc. The problem of co-ordination is resolved employing controllers that implement the mathematical models of these CPGs and finding the adequate values for their parameters. In contrast to the classic approach, the bio-inspired controllers are not based on the knowledge of where certain points are situated in space, but act directly on the articulations. They are, therefore, faster, generating movements that are more natural and, generally, demand less computing power.

Nevertheless, there exist a certain complexity in biological mechanisms, as well as a lot of redundancy. Perhaps these solutions are very specialised, being 'rich', supplying too much information

that may not be necessary for robotics locomotion. For this reason, the other approach for the control of movement, followed in this thesis, is to employ simplified models of CPGs. If the study of locomotion is made in permanent regime, a possible simplification is to substitute the CPGs for sinusoidal generators that directly control the position of the articulations of the robot. This is possible because CPGs act as fixed frequency oscillators once they have reached the stationary regime. What is more, the observation of animal locomotion shows that the frequencies of the rhythmic movements are equal and there is no evidence that oscillators of the dorsal spine use different frequencies.

The advantage of these controllers is that they are extremely simple to implement and require very few resources to realise. Also they can be formed using different technologies: software, digital circuits or even electronic analogue. By means of the use of FPGAs specific circuits can be designed that allow the robot to move “by hardware” in the same way that the tails of lizards move when they are severed. The problem of co-ordination is resolved by finding the amplitude values and the different phases of the generators that make the robot move.

*The hypothesis of this thesis is the employment of sinusoidal generators as controllers for the locomotion of the modular apodal robots with one dimension topology, of the groups pitch-pitch and pitch-yaw.*

## 1.2 Aims of the thesis

The main aim of this thesis is **to study the problem of locomotion of the modular apodal robots with one dimensional topology of the groups pitch-pitch and pitch-yaw; of any length, in one or two dimensions**. We want to know what gaits are possible and how to co-ordinate the robot’s articulations to obtain it.

The problem dealt with is very wide ranging and can be addressed from different viewpoints. The hypothesis examined is the use of a controller based on sinusoidal generators. Below the concrete **aims** are formulated, each one linked to a question:

1. To study the viability of the locomotion of the apodal robots of the mentioned groups of any length employing sinusoidal generators. (*Is robot movement achieved?*)
2. Discover different gaits. (*What types of movement can be performed?*)
3. Characterise the gaits using the minimum number of parameters. (*What is the minimum number of parameters needed to perform the movements?*)
4. Establish the lower limits of the number of modules that enables the robot to move. (*Which are the robots with the lowest number of modules capable of movement?*)

5. Discover the relationship between the parameters of the sinusoidal generators, the kinematics parameters of the robot and its shape. (*How does each parameter affect the movement controller and shape of the robot?*)
6. Sum up the results in a series of principals of locomotion that permit application engineers and other investigators to put into operation the apodal robots. (*What do I have to do so that this apodal robot of  $M$  modules moves in a certain way?*)

To address these questions this study presents the following **secondary aims**:

- Revise the state of the art in modular robots and apodal robots. Study the evolution of the robots created in the leading research centres, classify and identify the original contribution of this thesis.
- Creation of the mathematical models for robot groups: pitch-pitch and pitch-yaw.
- Develop a simulation software environment to evaluate the proposed solutions.
- Design a module for the construction of modular robots of the study groups.
- Construction of prototypes of modular robots to carry out the experiments and the verification of the solutions in real robots.

Finally, as a **personal aim** of the author of this thesis, the experimental platform created for the verification of the results, made up of hardware, software and mechanics, **has to be open and free**, and also designed, as far as possible, using **free development tools** that run in a free operating system. This aim will allow any investigator to reproduce the platform, verify the results of this thesis on it, and carry out improvements and continue with the investigation.

## LIMITS

To make the study of the locomotion of apodal robots accessible, the following limits have been applied.

- The various movements of the apodal robots are studied in a permanent regime. This restriction permits the substitution of the CPGs for sinusoidal generators.
- The surface is homogeneous, without obstacles. The first step is to search for solutions to the problem of co-ordination for this kind of surface.

- Control in open loop. The articulations are positioned in open loop. The controller sends the desired positions, supposing that the servo<sup>1</sup> reaches them in a certain time. It does not wait to receive any type of notification. This supposition is reasonable given that the surface is homogeneous and without obstacles. There is no impediment to the movement of the servos.
- Modules without sensors. It is assumed that each module possesses only one actuator, and no sensor. On one hand they do not need sensors to read the position of the servos as the control is in open loop. On the other hand it is not necessary to obtain information of the environment at this level of locomotion. It will be necessary to add sensors to operate at the superior level.

### **Aims that DO NOT form part of the thesis**

The realisation of a simulation software and the construction of prototypes of modular robots are planned to demonstrate the viability of the ideas proposed in the thesis. The aims that objectively do not form part of this thesis are the following:

- The construction of autonomous apodal robots. To verify the ideas proposed it is not necessary to construct prototypes that are autonomous. The controllers will be programmed in the computer and sent the positions of the servos to the robot by cable, by means of a serial connection. The power source will be external, situated outside the robot. Once the viability of the solutions is found, to make a robot that does not need any type of cable is purely a technological problem, and easily viable
- Superior levels of locomotion. It is not the aim of this thesis to programme behaviour in the robots or address other aspects related to the superior levels of locomotion, such as perceiving the environment, planning of routes, etc.

## **1.3 Structure of the Document**

In the first chapter the context of the thesis has been introduced, without going into details and the aims have been presented. In the second chapter the progress in modular robotics and apodal robots will be described in greater detail and it will be shown more exactly where this thesis relates to it. In the third chapter the models used for the modules, apodal robots, the controller, the kinematics and the mathematical models will be presented.

The following three chapters form the major part of the thesis, each one is dedicated to a different problem. The study of locomotion has been divided into three parts. The first (chapter 4) the problem

---

<sup>1</sup>Internally the servo closes the loop, using a potentiometer to confirm that it has reached the position, but this information is not supplied to the superior controller.



of one dimensional locomotion (in a straight line) of the apodal robots belonging to the pitch-pitch group is addressed. In the second (chapter 5) two dimensional locomotion of the pitch-yaw group is studied. In the third (chapter 6) the problem of the minimum configurations is dealt with and the answers found are given.

In the seventh chapter the developed robotic platform is described and the most relevant experiments are documented, both in simulations and real robots.

Finally, in the eighth chapter, the conclusions are expounded, with the future lines of investigation. At the end of each chapter the specific conclusions are given, in such a way that with reading the introduction and the conclusions of each chapter the reader will have a synthesis of the work done.



## Chapter 2

# The scientific-technological frame

“Naturally, we are only in the beginning of the beginning of the robotics revolution ”

– Isaac Asimov

### 2.1 Introduction

In this chapter the evolution of two types of robots, **the apodal and the modular**, will be studied. Emphasis will be placed on recently created prototypes and it will be seen, from a general perspective, how this thesis contributes to the studies. Many of the ideas and examples have already been presented in the introductory chapter, nevertheless they are included in this chapter as a self contained unit.

In the first place **the problem of locomotion** and some initial ideas will be introduced. This is followed by the evolution of apodal and self-propelled apodal state of the art robots that have been developed in the most prestigious research centres. Then the progress in a new branch of investigation of robotics, what is known as **modular robotics**, will be presented. At present the investigation concentrates not only on the locomotion of these robots, but also on their capabilities to form different structures. Finally **a classification** that includes modular and apodal robots **will be established**.

In the second part **the problem of co-ordination** and the different approaches of how to resolve it will be presented, concentrating on apodal modular robots.

## 2.2 Locomotion

### 2.2.1 Levels of locomotion

Locomotion is the capability that living beings belonging to the animal kingdom have, allowing them to decide to move from one place to another. This is one of the characteristics that distinguishes animals from plants. There are two important aspects to have in mind, **control** and **will**. To be accepted as locomotion the individual must want to do it and be able to control it.

The study of locomotion is divided into two levels, that are denominated the inferior level and the superior level. The **inferior level** is in charge of muscle control and co-ordination (or actuators in the case of robots) so that the individual can move. It also includes the different means of moving that can be obtained (turns, movement in a straight line, sideways movement, etc.). The questions to be resolved at this level are: How can I move? How can I co-ordinate all the muscles (actuators) to achieve the desired movement?

The **superior level** is in charge of path planning, navigation and other higher level tasks. It is related to the volition. The questions that define this level are: Where do I want to go? What route must I follow?

**This thesis concentrates on the inferior level of locomotion**, studying the mechanisms that enable apodal robots to move.

### 2.2.2 Types of locomotion

In nature the movement of animals has been adapted to the environment in which they live. It is possible to carry out a basic classification according to the environment in which they move. Therefore the locomotion can be: air borne, aquatic or terrestrial. This classification is not definitive. The land mammals are also able to move in water for short distances, for instance to cross a river. In this case they use a different way of walking, or gait, that permits them to swim.

Ground movement can be divided, in turn, into two categories, according to the organs that are employed to achieve movement: **locomotion by means of feet** (mammals, insects) or **by means of body motions** (snakes, caterpillars, worms).

### 2.2.3 Robot locomotion

One of the research areas in robotics is that of locomotion: giving the robots locomotive capabilities so that they can move from one place to another. These robots receive the generic name of **mobile**



Figure 2.1: Examples of robots with different effectors for terrestrial locomotion

**robots.** At the same time the study of locomotion is performed at the two levels mentioned above. Investigations of the superior level start with the supposition that robots can move, without taking into account the mechanisms that make it possible (feet, wheels...) and concentrates on the task of the superior level such as path planing, vision, collaboration, etc.

The same happens in animals, in the study of the inferior level of locomotion, robots can be classified according to the effectors employed to move them: **wheels**, **caterpillar tracks**, **feet** or the **body**. This category of **apodal robots** includes those robots, that like their counterparts in nature, achieve locomotion through body motions. These are the four classical categories for the study of locomotion, nevertheless the classification is not definitive. As Mark Yim has noted [155] in his doctoral thesis, new effectors can appear that do not fit in any of these categories. Such is the case of the *whegs*[110] and his version *mini-whegs*[97], created by Quinn et al. in the bio-robotics laboratory of the Case Western Reserve University. In them a wheel and a leg are mixed to produce some very interesting results. In the figure 2.1 there are some photos of robots that use different effectors to achieve movement: wheels, caterpillar tracks, whegs, body and four, six or eight feet.

The themes for investigation at the lower level of locomotion are the properties of the various effectors, how to achieve the co-ordination of the actuators, the different gaits, algorithms of control, etc. In the remainder of the chapter, when speaking of locomotion, it always refers to the inferior level.

#### 2.2.4 Design of Mobile Robots

As in the animal kingdom, where locomotion of individuals is specifically adapted to the environment in which the animal normally functions, to design a mobile robot it is essential to know the terrain in which it is going to move. **The environment** is the key in deciding which effectors will be chosen and what gaits will be implemented. Therefore, for example, if the robot is going to move on flat surfaces where there is no need to overcome obstacles, wheels, or even caterpillar tracks, are sufficient.

The design process can be resumed in the following steps:

1. The study of the environment in which the robot is going to operate
2. The selection of effectors.
3. The implementation of the gaits.

These steps are very important. A wrong choice at this level will imply having to reconstruct the robot. For this reason investigations at this level are important: the better the properties of the effectors are known, the possible gaits, their efficiency, etc. greater will be the information available that enable the right design decisions to be made. Leger [78], in his doctoral thesis, addressed the problem of the automatic design of robots, using an evolutionary approach. His central idea is that the search for

solutions to locomotion is so wide ranging that it is necessary to create new software tools to explore the greatest possible number of solutions before taking a decision about which design to implement. At this level an error in the configuration of the robot is critical. For this reason he proposed using evolutionary algorithms to help the designers at this stage.

Nevertheless, applications exist where it is difficult to know a priori and in detail the ground, which leads to a lot of uncertainty in the initial stage of design. Such is the case when designing robots for **search and rescue operations** or **planetary exploration**. Due to this, the robot has to have the **maximum versatility** possible. Investigations concentrate on studying the most versatile effectors and all the different gaits possible.

### 2.2.5 The problem of locomotion

One of the biggest challenges in developing a robot is to make it able to move in all types of terrain, even the roughest and most broken. That is to say, a robot that is extremely versatile. This is of special importance in applications where the environment is insufficiently known or changeable, as in the exploration of the surfaces of other planets, hostile environments or search and rescue operations. What is it best to use, feet, wheels, caterpillar tracks...? How many feet? What type of movement? And if it has feet, how to configure them?.

The NASA has particular interest in this problem, financing projects destined to the building and evaluating of alternatives, so that the robots can move in rugged environments. Two of these projects in the initial stage (end of the 80's) were the CMU Ambler[72] and the Dante II[3]. They are examples that illustrate the design model described above: designs of specific structures based on the environmental specifications.

The Ambler is an autonomous robot for planetary exploration taking into account movement on the surface of Mars. Based on the specifications the robot was designed with 6 feet, 3.5 meters high and a weight of 2,500 kilos. The type of movement selected was by feet, in theory the most efficient mode[3]. Nevertheless this robot was never sent to Mars. The dimensions and weight of the robot were excessively large for the requirements and power consumption was very high.

The robot Dante II was also designed to explore broken ground and was tested in 1994 for the exploration of the mountain volcano Spurr, in Alaska. In this case the robot had 8 feet with a locomotion system called 'framewalker'. Despite knowing the specifications of the terrain, and that it had a cable maintaining it fixed to the summit and by which it descended, on the fifth day it tipped over and could not be recovered.

For exploring Mars, the NASA opted for using wheels[80] that up to now have given very good results. Nevertheless, wheels are very limited. They only allow the robot to move in controlled surroundings. This is one of the reasons why it is necessary to plan carefully and in advance the places

to which the robot will be sent, not only taking into account the importance of atmospheric conditions, the collection of scientific data, etc, but also permits the robot to move easily in its surroundings[36]. This is a big handicap.

Inspired by the marvellous locomotive abilities of animals with feet, Dirk Spennberg et al. of the University of Bremen developed the robot Scorpio[26], with 8 feet, able to move on sandy and rocky terrain, in places impossible for wheels. This project was financed by the DARPA and the robot was proposed as an alternative for the exploration of Mars. Motivated by the results, the development of the ARAMIES[131] was begun. This robot is a quadruped that can move on extremely adverse terrain and can, besides, carry on board scientific experiments. One of the aims is to explore the locomotive capabilities of quadruped robots in this type of surroundings.

## 2.3 Apodal robots

In contrast to terrestrial movement by means of feet, are the living beings that use corporal movements. The robots that use this kind of movement are known as **apodal robots**. The word apodal means “lacking feet”.

These robots possess characteristics that make them unique, the same as their counterparts: snakes, worms and maggots. On one hand is the ability to change their form. Compared with the rigid structures of the rest of the robots, the apodals can bend and adapt to the form of the terrain on which they move. On the other hand their section is very small compared to their size, which permits them to enter small tubes or orifices and get to places inaccessible to other robots.

This section analyses the apodal robots created in the most important research centres and their evolution up to now.

### 2.3.1 Tokyo Institute of technology: acm family

Hirose, of the Tokyo Institute of Technology pioneered studies of snake’s bio-mechanics for its application to robotics. It was implemented in 1976 the first snake robot called ACM-III (*Active Cord Mechanism*). In 1987 in the reference book "*Biologically Inspired Robots*"[47], the results of his investigation were collected and published.

One of Professor Hirose’s most important contributions to science was the discovery and formulation of the **serpenoid curve**[143], which is the form adopted by the snakes when moving. He proposed a model of vertebrae that moves by means of the action of two opposing muscles controlled by two springs that provoke a sinuous movement. Then he calculated the spinal column curve’s equation and finally compared it to the experimental results obtained from real snakes.





Figure 2.2: Evolution of the snake robots of the ACM family: (Active Cord Mechanism). Hirose-Fukushima Robotics Lab

In figure 2.2 the different prototypes developed up to the present are shown. The first one is the **ACM-III**<sup>1</sup> that measures 2 metres long and is made up of 20 articulations that move parallel to the ground (*yaw*), capable of moving at a speed of  $40\text{cm/s}$ . Each module has some passive wheels that allow the robot to crawl along the ground. These wheels have the effect that the friction coefficient in a tangential direction is very low compared with the normal drag. It is this principal that allows the propulsion of the robot when the articulations are oscillated correctly. This mechanism has been baptised '*glide propulsion*' and is not only similar to that of snakes, but also to the movement of skaters.

The ACM-III prototype was about 20 years ahead of its time. This line of investigation was forgotten until, owing to the advent of modular robotics, prototypes of snake robots reappeared. Hirose and his collaborators renewed their interest in this system and they redesigned it with new technologies. In this way the **ACM-R1**[48] was born. It was a revised and modernised ACM-III. It included wireless communication with the robot to eliminate the need of cables. This prototype has 16 modules and can move at a speed of something like  $50\text{cm/s}$ . The modules are smaller and better finished. Among the new experiments carried out the highlight is the trial of propulsion sliding on ice, using the same kind of blades that are used on ice skates [29].

The following prototype, **ACM-R2**, has greater freedom in each module allowing pitch as well as *yaw*[142] which permits the robot to adopt three dimensional forms. This prototype served principally

<sup>1</sup>More information is obtainable on the web: [http://www-robot.mes.titech.ac.jp/robot/snake\\_e.html](http://www-robot.mes.titech.ac.jp/robot/snake_e.html)

to study the viability of the robots with axes of pitch and yaw, and later evolved to the **ACM-R3**[95]. The functionality of the ACM-R3 is the same as the ACM-R2, nevertheless the design is completely new. Now each module has one degree of freedom. It is designed in such a way that when they are connected in chain the movements of pitch and yaw are alternated. The structure is more compact and lighter than its predecessor. One of the design novelties was to integrate some large sized wheels on either side of the module. This novel design permits the wheels to be always in contact with the surface, independently of the robots orientation, allowing it to be propelled in inclined positions. The prototype was used to investigate new ways of locomotion such as rolling, sinus-lifting or inclined movements[96].

With the idea of improving the model so as to be able to function in real situations, where there is dust, water, areas with difficult access, etc., the **ACM-R4**[152] was developed. It can be considered as an industrial version to be employed for inspection or search tasks in tubes or steep ground. Snake type propulsion requires many modules. With the idea of reducing the size, the wheels, that before were passive, are now active and can be moved by a motor. The ACM-R4 has only 9 modules. This characteristic has led to the appearance of new locomotive capabilities. It can be seen in one of the experiments how the robot advances along the ground, lifts up its body, supports itself on a chair, climbs onto it and finally gets off, showing how it can move in quite complicated terrain.

A characteristic of the snakes is that they can move as easily on the ground as in water. From ACM-R4 and an amphibious prototype, **Helix**[139] the version **ACM-R5**[151] was born. The robot can move along the ground using glide-propulsion, by means of some small passive wheels. Also each module has four fixed fins that in normal movement through water produce high resistance and low resistance for tangential movements.

### 2.3.2 Shenyang Institute of Automation

Hirose's work has served as inspiration for other investigators. One of them is Shugen Ma who repeated and enlarged Hirose's work in glide-propulsion and developed a simplified version of ACM-R1 with 12 less mechanically complicated modules and an improved system of control[82]. He also developed a software to simulate the real movement of the robot on different surfaces. The theory is that the robot moves along the serpenoid curve and that no normal slipping exists. Nevertheless, in practice this side slipping does appear, and produces loss of propulsive power. By means of the simulator it is possible to determine the values of the losses and find the optimum angle of the serpentine movement for each surface[83]. Lastly the locomotion of the robot on inclined terrain was studied[85]. Parallel to this, Professor Shugen Ma's group also started to study apodal robots with pitch and yaw connections[84]. A module that possessed a certain degree of freedom and activated by a servo was developed and with it configurations of robots were created to study different movements and their adaptations to the environment. Concretely a rolling movement to overcome obstacles was suggested[11], and in [10] the problem was studied in a more general way, suggesting other gaits depending on the environment.




Figure 2.3: CMU's snake robot

### 2.3.3 Robotics Institute at Carnegie Mellon University

In CMU's (Carnegie Mellon University) Robotics Institute Kevin Downing studied apodal robots. In his doctoral thesis[27], financed by the NASA, developed a framework environment for the automatic gait generation for snake robots. He was one of the pioneers in applying genetic algorithms to find solutions for the locomotion of these robots.

Investigations on snake robots are being carried out in the bio-robotics laboratory<sup>2</sup> directed by Professor Howie Choset. The principal lines of investigation are mechanical and locomotion at both inferior and superior levels. In the area of mechanics new articulations are being developed[123] to attain snakes in 3D, as well as actuators that permit optimum climbing ability[24].

His investigations of the superior level have concentrated on planing movements, developing locomotive algorithms and positioning in what is known as hyper-redundants[16][15].

Some very interesting results are being obtained for the inferior level. The videos of the robots can be seen on *You Tube*<sup>3</sup>. The prototypes designed (see Figure 2.3) are based on Mark Yim's modules, described in more detail in the section 2.5. They use aluminium modules with a degree of freedom, activated by what is known as Super-servo. These are commercial servos that have been modified, adding their own electronics, sensors and communications bus [150]. Different types of 'skins' are

<sup>2</sup><http://download.srv.cs.cmu.edu/~biorobotics/>

<sup>3</sup>Youtube channel: <http://www.youtube.com/user/CMUBiorobotics>



Figure 2.4: The prototypes Amphibot I and II of the EPFL bio-inspired group. The author of this thesis appears at the lower left, together with Alexander Crespi, author of Amphibot, when attending Clawar 2006 in Brussels.

used to cover the modules and allows the snake to move in all kinds of terrain, including aquatic environments.

The latest prototypes consist of 16 modules and can move in a straight line, sideways, climb on the inside or outside of a tube, swim and roll[81]. At this low level of locomotion the robots are tele-controlled by an operator, who constantly indicates the movements that the robot must carry out.

### 2.3.4 Bio-Inspired Robotics groups at EPFL

The bio-inspired robotics group at EPFL (*Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne*) has developed the amphibious robot **Amphibot**[21]<sup>4</sup> that is capable of moving on ground and in water. It consists of 8 modules that move parallel to the ground and uses bio-inspired controls for locomotion, based on the CPGs (*Central Pattern Generators*) models of the lampreys, developed by Ijspeert[59].

The first prototype, **Amphibot-I**[22][20] could swim by means of undulations of the body, as well as move along the ground as snakes do, for which some passive wheels, similar to those of ACM, situated on the abdomen were included. In the second version, **AmphiBot II**[23], the modules were made more compact and feet were added. This robot could also move on the ground and swim like salamanders do, combining body and foot movement. For the control model the lamprey CPG models were used and demonstrated how the speed and direction of movement can be quickly adjusted, on the ground and in the water[58].

<sup>4</sup>More information is available on the web <http://birg.epfl.ch/page53468.html>



Figure 2.5: Left: Wormbot, designed by Conrad[19]. Right: S5, Miller[90]

### 2.3.5 Others

One of the most realistic snake robots obtained is the prototype **S5**<sup>5</sup> developed by Miller[90]. It is made up of 64 articulations with the relationship between the length and width of the section nearing the proportions of real snakes. It is the fifth generation of snake robots.

The **WormBot**<sup>6</sup> of Conrad et al.[19], developed by the University of Zurich's Neuroinformatics Institute, is a prototype of a snake robot that moves by means of undulations of its body and is based on the bio-inspired model of CPGs. It has implemented the lamprey CPGs[18]. The robot is autonomous and an operator can change the parameters of the couplings between oscillators.

A different approach is used in the robot **SES-1** y **SES-2** (Self Excited Snake Robots) developed by Ute et al[145] in the Tokyo Institute of Technology. In a prototype of 3 segments and 2 motors, movement is obtained through the principle of self-excitation. According to this principle springs are placed in parallel to the actuators. The torque of each motor depends on the angle of the adjacent motor, obtained by means of negative feedback. With this principle very quick and efficient movements are obtained. The first version SES-1 is formed exclusively of analogic circuits.

The figure 2.5 shows the Wormbot and S5 prototypes.

<sup>5</sup>More information on the web: <http://snakerobots.com>

<sup>6</sup><http://www.ini.ethz.ch/~conradt/projects/WormBot/>






Figure 2.6: Self-propelled robots (serpentine robots) in the Hirose-Fukushima Robotics Lab

## 2.4 Self-propelled apodal robots

In contrast to the apodal robots that accomplish their locomotion by means of body motions, the self-propelled apodal robots displace themselves by means of active wheels or caterpillar tracks on the different parts that make up the robot. Though they have the form of a snake, they are not bio-inspired robots. This type of locomotion is not found in nature. Nevertheless it is included in this study because most of them are modular robots, formed by similar modules joined in a chain.

This kind of modular robots have also been named[43] as **serpentine robots**.

### 2.4.1 Hirose Fukushima Robotics Lab (TiTech)

Professor Hirose also pioneered this class of robot. From ACM-III structures were developed with self-propelled modules joined in a chain[51], these are known as **articulated bodies**<sup>7</sup>. Outstanding among the advantages of this type of robot is its ease of transport: the modules are separated one from the other and later joined together again, they can carry a load distributed on all the robot, they can move along narrow and twisting paths and the system is 'redundant', that is if one module fails another takes its place.

To explore the locomotive capabilities of their articulated bodies the **KORYU I** prototype[52] was developed, formed of 6 cylindrical bodies and propelled by caterpillar tracks. Each module has 3

<sup>7</sup>More information in the link: [http://www-robot.mes.titech.ac.jp/robot/snake\\_e.html](http://www-robot.mes.titech.ac.jp/robot/snake_e.html)

degrees of freedom: vertical movement (axis  $z$ ), turning movement (parallel to the plane  $xy$ ) and wheels to propel it. It was noted that this robot could turn, climb obstacles and even stairs. The cylinders can also move vertically, which permits the robot to negotiate irregular surfaces. The second prototype, **KORYU-II**[53] uses, instead of caterpillar tracks, independent wheels which allows it to move with ease on sloping terrain. Experiments were carried out both in the city and the countryside.

The Japanese live in a seismic zone where earthquakes are frequent. Because of this the application of search and rescue are of special interest for them. After an earthquake people can be trapped in the rubble and they have to be rescued immediately. To develop a robot capable of manoeuvring in this type of environment, find the victims or survivors, using cameras and microphones, would be a great help.

The first prototype proposed was **Souryu-I**[138], made up of three segments. Each one is propelled by caterpillar tracks, but they are not independent, there is one motor that drives them all. The first one carries a camera and a microphone, and the rear one a radio receiver. The modules at the extremities can carry out pitch and yaw symmetrically. The robot has only three degrees of freedom. The following version **Souryu-II**[140] is similar but its modules are easily separated to facilitate transport and add special intermediate modules.

The **Genbu** (I, II y III)[66] generation of robots is formed by chains whose modules have two independent, active wheels and are joined by passive articulations. It has been developed to deal with fires. The motors are hydraulic and a hose-pipe can be fitted along the central axis of the robot to pump water and reach places inaccessible to the firemen.

Another robot is **Kogha**[61], developed for search and rescue operations. It has 8 modules connected in series with two caterpillar tracks, except the first and last ones. The connections between two modules dispose of two degrees of active freedom that allow them to climb obstacles and 3 degrees of passive freedom that allow them to adapt to the terrain.

Some of the prototypes are shown in the figure 2.6. In [49] a more detailed review of some of the robots developed by the Tokyo Institute of Technology can be found.

#### 2.4.2 German National Research centre (GMD)

The GMD has developed two prototypes of self-propelled apodal robots. One is the **GMD-SNAKE**[68] (prototypes 1 & 2). This is made up of 12 driving wheels on each module. It has 6 modules, plus one at the head. The principal application for which it was designed is the inspection of tubes, though in [105] application to the inspection of buildings is being studied.

The other is the **Makro**[111] robot for inspecting drains of 30 to 60 cm diameter. It has 6 modules and the joints between them have 3 degrees of freedom. Each module has two wheels to drive it. At the head two cameras are fitted as infra-red sensors to detect obstacles. Though the robot is tele-directed, a software has been proposed to make it autonomous[135].




Figure 2.7: Different prototypes of self-propelled apodal robots (serpentine robots): GMD-snake Makro, Swarm-bot, OmniTread OT-8 y OT-4

### 2.4.3 University of Michigan: OmniTread

One of the most advanced self-propelled apodal robots is **OmniTread**<sup>8</sup> developed by Granosik et al.[43] at the Laboratory of mobile robotics in the University of Michigan, for task of industrial surveillance. This robot is very robust and flexible. It uses pneumatic joints which gives it a lot of strength. The first version omnitread **OT-8** is composed of 5 hexahedral modules. Two caterpillar tracks have been placed on the four external faces of the robot. The inconvenience is that the air compressor is placed outside the robot, which necessitates a hose.

In the following version, **OT-4**[4] the robot has been reduced in size and electric micro-compressors have been added, thereby eliminating the need of a hose. It has an autonomy of around 75 minutes. (Figure 2.7).

### 2.4.4 EPFL Intelligent Systems Laboratory: Swarm-bot

The **Swarm-bot** robot<sup>9</sup> has been developed at the EPFL Intelligent System Laboratory for the study of ‘the intelligent beehive’: colonies that are capable of self-organisation. The prototype developed[94] is formed by small mobile robots that have the capacity to assemble themselves to make bigger structures and therefore carry out other tasks. For example, if they have to cross a crevasse, they can organise themselves into a chain[93][44].

<sup>8</sup>More information in the web: [http://www.engin.umich.edu/research/mrl/00MoRob\\_6.html](http://www.engin.umich.edu/research/mrl/00MoRob_6.html)

<sup>9</sup>More information <http://www.swarm-bots.org/>






Figure 2.8: The robot JL-I

Each one of these modules is called **s-bot** and is totally autonomous. They use caterpillar tracks to move and are supplied with sensors (Figure 2.7).

#### 2.4.5 Institute of Robotics at Beihang University (BUAA): JL-I

The Beihang University (Beijing China) Robotics Group started the design of this type of robot in 1999, with a two module design[146]. Each one has two caterpillars and an articulation with 2 degrees of freedom as well as a CCD camera and sensors. The articulations can also extend, allowing the robot to increase or shorten its length.

Based on this initial prototype, Houxiang et al designed the **robot JL-I**[171]. At present this is formed by 3 identical modules. It has 3 degree of freedom articulations, which gives it a vast capacity of movement. Not only can it climb obstacles, among other characteristics, but also stairs and recover itself if it turns over[170]. The robot is planned for military applications[175].

### 2.5 Modular robots and locomotion

#### 2.5.1 A new approach to the problem of locomotion

In every discipline an investigator appears who revolutionises this area of knowledge, proposing new ideas and giving new insights. Such is the case with **Mark Yim**, who can be considered the father of **modular self-configuring robotics**. His work has inspired hundreds of investigators (Some of his articles have been referenced more than 250 times!).

In his 1995 doctoral thesis Mark Yim proposed a new approach to the locomotion problem[155]. The traditional solution, described in the section 2.2.3 is focused on designing a specific robot based on the analysis of a terrain's characteristics. What Yim proposed was using robots based on modules with the capability of re-assembling themselves into different forms. In this way, these new modular

robots could change their form adopting different configurations and gaits according to the terrain where they were operating at a particular moment.

To illustrate it, he proposed the scenario described in the introduction to this thesis. The question was asked what would the robot have to be like to be able to go from the Stanford Robotics Laboratory to a building on the other side of the street. The robot had to be capable of moving along level ground, cross the laboratory's porch, pass under a railing, go down some 60 cm steps and move across a rough piece of ground, covered in herbage.

To resolve the problem the best configurations for each type of terrain would have to be determined, using a self-reconfigurable modular robot. Initially, therefore, the robot would use a wheel type configuration to cross the porch (it was shown that this gait was the most efficient for level ground), next the "wheel" would open and the robot would transform itself into a worm that allowed it to pass under the railing and descend the steps. Finally it would change into a four footed spider, a configuration characterised by its greater stability, to cross the broken ground.

The advantage, therefore, of these self-configuring modular robots is their **great versatility**. What is more they can employ the most efficient configuration and gait for each class of terrain. That is to say, they combine the best features of apodal robots and robots with feet.

### 2.5.2 Polypod

This idea of self configuring robots would not have been such an innovation if Yim had not demonstrated their viability. It was not until some years later, after the publication of his thesis, that his idea took off and produced the boom in modular robots.

For the experiments of his thesis the first robot that was developed was **Polypod**. Though what was being proposed was the birth of self configuring modular robots, Polypod was manually self-reconfigurable, but it was used to implement distinct configurations and demonstrate the viability of his ideas. The Polypod's modules were mechanically complex and possessed two degrees of freedom. All the technical details are included in his thesis[155]. An amplified summary (in Spanish) can be found in [38].

### 2.5.3 Polybot

After finishing his doctoral thesis Mark Yim started to work as an investigator in the PARC (*Palo Alto Research Centre*) where he developed his famous robot **Polybot**[157]<sup>10</sup>. In reality it is not a robot, in the traditional meaning of the term, but the word covers various generations of modules from which modular robots can be created.

---

<sup>10</sup>Information about Polybot is available on <http://www2.parc.com/spl/projects/modrobots/chain/polybot/>




Figure 2.9: The modules of Polypod and Polybot

According to Yim the three promises of modular robotics[166] are versatility, reliability and low cost. **Versatility** is due to the fact that these robots can change their form and move in diverse kinds of terrain. **Reliability** lies in their self-repairing capacity. If one of the modules develops a fault it eliminates itself or is substituted by another. Finally the **low cost** is obtained by applying mass production of the modules. Large scale production leads to reduced costs.

Polybot is a platform for experimenting focused on the promise of versatility. Up to now five different types of modules have been created, grouped in three generations: G1, G2 and G3 (see figure 2.9). One of the aims of their design was simplicity, that is why they have all been given only one degree of freedom.

The **G1 generation** is not self-configuring, as the modules do not have the capacity to automatically join themselves together. Nevertheless it is possible to produce various manual configurations and test them. Three different modules have been designed. The first was made of plastic and employed a commercial servo as articulation. Mechanically it is much simpler than the modules developed for Polypod. A novel idea was introduced, that the base of each module should be square, enabling them to be connected one to the other, in different ways. In this way robots with joints that move on one plane, and others perpendicularly to them could be formed. Outstanding among the experiments is **the first example of simple re-configuration**, in which 12 modules adopt, initially, the form of a wheel. These move along a level surface until they arrive at some stairs. The robot opens up and converts itself into a worm that can descend the stairs. It was the first experiment in which a robot carried out



Figure 2.10: Polybot. Different configurations of Polybot G1

a re-configuration[158]. Besides this different locomotive configurations were experimented with a four footed spider and a worm configuration, movement through a tube and turns (Figure 2.10).

Having proved the viability of modules, sensors were introduced in the version **G1v4** to implement applications in closed loop. Experiments were carried out of climbing worms. Not only to climb walls and barriers (lineal configuration) but also to climb stairs (wheel configuration)[161][160]. One of the strangest experiments was imitating the human ‘undercarriage’ (hips and legs) making them move by pedalling a tricycle[166]. It is a further example of the versatility of modular robotics: configurations can be created that allow objects made for humans to be manipulated<sup>11</sup>.

The G2 and G3 generations have the ability to join themselves together and separate[167], which permits the construction of authentically self-configuring robots. The **G3 generation** is a redesigned G2 to obtain a more compact module: Its dimensions allow it to fit in a 5 cm cube. This innovation was produced in the previous version. The first dynamic self-reconfiguration experiment was carried out successfully with the **G2 modules**[159]. The first part of the experiment demonstrates the simple re-configuration, in which Polybot adopts the form of a wheel with 12 modules. Following that it adopts a lineal configuration. In the second part the conversion from worm to a four legs spider is carried out. Both extremities fold inwards, parallel to the ground with the robot adopting the form of  $\infty$ , coupled to both sides of the central module. The exterior modules separate so that the robot forms an X. Now the robot has 4 feet, each one formed by three modules. Finally the robot raises itself. The

<sup>11</sup>It is interesting that – diverging from the theme – in his science fiction novels Isaac Asimov argue that the future of robotics lay with humanoid robots. All the tools that had been designed for humans could be used by robots, avoiding the need to redesign them.




Figure 2.11: Different configurations of Polybot

great contribution obtained is the ability to automatically join modules using infrared rays as guides [112].

The **G1v5 modules** are the latest to be developed. They are not re-configurable, nevertheless they are designed using the lessons learned from all the previous modules. They are very robust and are prepared for commercialisation. The Polykinectis environment[37] has been developed to program and drive them. This includes programming in a scripting language to control the various configurations based on XML[172]. This environment was tested in a workshop given in the International Congress of Intelligent Robots and Systems in 2003 (IROS)<sup>12</sup>. The experience was a success and the possibilities of modular robotics in the field of education was demonstrated.

The theoretic model for the programming of modular robots is known as phase automata[173][174]. It is based on the idea that principal movements are periodical. This periodicity is ruptured when certain events from the sensors occur. The other idea is that the signals that control the modules are the same, but with a time lag.

In the figure 2.11 the different configurations of the Polybot G1v4, G1v5, G2 y G3 generations are shown.

<sup>12</sup>The tutorial is available in the link: <http://www2.parc.com/spl/projects/modrobots/chain/polybot/parc/doc/tutorial/index.html>






Figure 2.12: Modules and various configurations of Ckbot

### 2.5.4 Ckbot

In 2006 Mark Yim moved to the University of Pennsylvania where he founded the **ModLab**<sup>13</sup>, where investigations in the field of Modular robotics are carried out.

The modular robot **CKBOT** [107] (*Connector Kinetic roBot*) has been developed there to be used as a platform for his investigations. The modules of Ckbot have been inspired by the Polybot version G1v5: dynamically they are not reconfigurable, but they do permit the creation of different types of configuration to explore their locomotive capabilities. In the figure 2.12 the modules and some of the configurations that have been tested are shown.

Though Modlab is not very old, its contributions are very innovative. One of them is a new application baptised by Yim as ‘**self-reassembly after explosion**’ (SAE) [164][165]. The aim is to begin to explore the second of the modular robotics promises: **robustness** and **self repairing ability**. The following problem is still to be resolved: the starting point is a modular robot with a specific configuration. At a particular moment it suffers an impact and all its modules or parts of the robot are scattered over the immediate area. The robot must be able to put itself together again and continue with the task that it was doing.

<sup>13</sup>The web page is: <http://modlab.seas.upenn.edu/index.html> (Modular Robotic Lab)




Figure 2.13: Modules and different configurations of the robot M-TRAN

To test the viability of the system, a configuration in the form of a humanoid robot has been created, made up of three groups of modules (known as *clusters*). Each cluster consists of 3 modules Ckbot and a module with a mini-camera[128]. The mechanical union between the three clusters is by means of permanent magnets, while the internal modules are joined by screws. In the experiment realised in [165], the humanoid configuration is walking. One of the investigators hits it and the three clusters are scattered across the ground. By means of the mini-cameras the different parts are capable of recognising each other and moving until they reconstruct the humanoid original and continue the task.

Besides this different gaits and configurations, for example a type of wheel movement[121] or the creation of a centipede robot from modules that add exterior feet[122], are continuing to be studied and analysed.

### 2.5.5 M-TRAN

One of the most advanced modular robots that exist at the moment is the **M-TRAN** (Modular TRANformer)[99]<sup>14</sup> developed in the National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technologies (AIST) in Japan. In the figure 2.13 the modules and different configurations of the robot are shown.

The present version has been the result of more than 10 years of investigation. It is a hybrid modular robot (see paragraph 2.7) that can configure itself to form chain topologies or lattices. Three generations of modules have been developed: M-TRAN I, II & III.

The project started in 1998, with **M-TRAN II**[104]. Faced with the search for simplicity in Polybot and Ckbot, the M-TRAN module has two degrees of freedom and a novel system of coupling between modules based on permanent magnets and SMA (*Shape Memory Alloy*) springs for separation. They

<sup>14</sup>More information is available in the link: <http://unit.aist.go.jp/is/dsysd/mtran3/>

are based on Profesor Hirose's principal of internally balanced magnetic units[50]. The modules are joined to each other by means of permanent magnets. The system's novelty is in the SMA springs that are activated by electric current to disconnect the modules. In the first experiments it was shown that the permanent magnets had sufficient force for one module to lift another. Also the viability of various configurations in movement was explored: wheel, worm, quadruped, and dynamic configuration [65]. As well as the mechanical and electronic design of the module, a powerful simulation system was developed[75] that was used to explore the modules' possibilities, causing a block of 12 modules to pass over obstacles and simulate different algorithms of planned movements[169] and simulations of self-reparation[103].

In 2002 the second generation: **M-TRAN-II**[102] was developed. The idea of the module is the same, improvements have been made in the mechanics and the hardware. The module was reduced in size by approximately 10%, and consumed less, which led to better autonomy, and the hardware allowed for wireless communication. The innovations that were introduced were in the field of the automatic generation of gaits, using CPGs and genetic algorithms [73]. Genetic algorithms are run in a PC and then the pattern of movements are down-loaded to the modules, either to the actual robot or to the simulation. More information can be found in [63]. Nevertheless, one of the most novel experiments that was done was the reconfiguration of a quadruped into a worm [73], something that had not been seen before. To achieve it, it is necessary to plan the steps that the modules have to follow to reach the goal [168]. Due to these experiments the M-TRAN became the most advanced modular robot.

The present generation, **M-TRAN III**[62] incorporate a new mechanical connecting mechanism, that replaces the permanent magnets. This has achieved improved energy efficiency and speed in connecting and disconnecting, though at the price of mechanical complexity. These modules, nevertheless, are no longer prototypes, but can be produced industrially. The electronics are much more powerful. Now each module has four microprocessors connected by a bus CAN (Controller Area Network). One is the master and the others slaves. The previous experiments of locomotion have been verified and amplified [76] and reconfigured [74]. One of the new possibilities of these modules is that of incorporating specialised modules, for example mini-cameras [98] to help in the implementation of the reconfiguration.

### 2.5.6 CONRO

The **CONRO** modules<sup>15</sup> were developed by Castano et al.[8] at the University of South California's ISI (Information Science Institute) for the implementation of what are called **metamorphic systems**: robots that can change their shape. What Yim called self-configuring (the denomination that has prevailed). These modules have two degrees of freedom and self-coupling ability. In the initial experiments a snake and a hexapod were formed[7]. The coupling system was tested, though in the first version it has not been integrated into the modules yet.

---

<sup>15</sup>More information on the web: <http://www.isi.edu/robots/conro/>






Figure 2.14: Conro module and different configurations

To represent the configuration of a re-configurable robot graphs are used to determine if two robots have the same configuration[9]. Also it is possible to locate special modules, for example a mini-camera [6].

In later studies a new bio-inspired system was proposed so that the modules could discover the changes in topography and could collaborate with other modules to carry out movement and self configuration. Two protocols based on the idea of hormones have been developed, one called Adaptive Communication (AC) and the other Adaptive Distributive Control (ADC)[126]. In [114] a system of autonomous coupling between modules is being studied.

The figure 2.14 shows what CONRO looks like as well as various configurations and one of the reconfiguring experiments that was carried out.

### 2.5.7 SuperBot

**SuperBot**<sup>16</sup> is a modular robot created in the ISI Robotics Polymorphic Laboratory of the University of South California. The module designed is one of the most modern (2005) and is inspired in all the previous ones: Conro, Polybot, MTRAN y ATRON. It is a project financed by the NASA and the DARPA. and was developed, initially, to be used in space applications[124]. How to employ it as a mobile platform to move on another planet's surface and collect information is being studied[141]. Between 8-10 modules reconfigure to form the needed platform: such as wheel (for efficient movement), spider, snake, communication towers, etc. Another application is what the authors call MULE

<sup>16</sup>More information on: web:<http://www.isi.edu/robots/superbot.htm>




Figure 2.15: Different configurations of the SuperBot module

(*Multi-Use Lunar Explorer*) [79]. The idea is to place more than 100 modules on the chassis of a lunar vehicle and use them to carry out various geological tasks, with or without the help of the astronauts. Weight is very important on space missions. Instead of carrying different apparatus to make measurements, the modules can be reconfigured to form different structures according to need.

The latest application is that called OHMS (*Habitat Operations and Maintenance System*)[77] in which approximately 150 modules are used to obtain various tools: solar panels, cleaning and maintenance of the installations, monitoring and inspection in real time...

The mechanics of the Superbot modules are inspired in MTRAN, but includes an extra degree of freedom. The two extremities turn vertically (pitch) and rotate (roll) between themselves. It has, the same as MTRAN, a total of 6 contact surfaces where other modules can be joined, this allows not only the formation of chain type robots (see paragraph 2.7), but also solid 3D structures[125][118].

The re-configurable systems must resolve various challenges: 1) Distributed negotiation, in such a way that the modules agree on the global task they are to perform. 2) Distributed collaboration, that allows them to translate the global task into local tasks that each module can carry out. 3) Synchronisation, so that each local task is synchronised with the others.

These problems have already been addressed in the CONRO modules, but with the supposition that the topology did not vary while a task was being carried out. In [120] an algorithm is proposed to resolve these problems, allowing the topology to change. This is inspired in the concept of hormones[119].




Figure 2.16: The modular robots Yamor and Molecube

### 2.5.8 Yamor

**Yamor**<sup>17</sup> (*Yet Another Modular Robot*) is the modular robot developed in the bio-inspired robotics laboratory of EPFL, to study adaptive locomotion[92]. The developed module has one degree of freedom activated by a servo and the communication between modules, and the modules with the PC is by Bluetooth, which means that there are no wires. The control hardware includes FPGAs (Field Programmable Logic Arrays) which gives the system greater versatility for the implementation of specific controllers. The software developed allows the generation of movement functions using a GUI (Graphical User Interface), which is then down-loaded to the hardware[91].

Maye et al.[89] applied the CPG models to the movement of modular robots, carrying out experiments with Yamor, thereby validating the previous simulations. Worm, tripod and quadruped configurations were tested.

Yerly et al.[154] are working on the following generation of modules, adding accelerometers and improving the software.

<sup>17</sup>More information in <http://birg.epfl.ch/page53469.html/>

In the figure 2.16 the two versions produced of Yamor and the various configurations tested, are shown. In the central part are the following versions of modules and the tripod and quadrupe configurations.

### 2.5.9 Molecube

In the Cornell University CSL (Computational Synthesis Laboratory) the modules known as **Molecubes**<sup>18</sup>[176] have been developed. They have one level of liberty and form a cube. They differ from the rest in that they rotate on a diagonal axis, that unites two opposing points of the cube. When a 90 rotation is performed on this axis, another cube is obtained.

These modules are not adapted to resolve locomotive problems, though robots can be created with locomotive capacity. Their original purpose was to build **the first modular system capable of self replication**[177]. In the experiment that was performed, a tower composed of 4 molecubes, duplicated itself. For this another four modules were used as raw material for the duplication. The initial individual deposits its own modules in the places where the replica is to be created. Using the “raw material” supplied it self replicates. The final process ends after two and a half minutes. What is important is that the new copy can also duplicate itself. The new individual can create another, demonstrating that total self replication has been obtained (in behaviour as well as structure).

## 2.6 Modular Robots and Structures

Other area of investigation on the modular robots is **the capability to form structures that can be reconfigured**. In the figure 2.17 various of these prototypes are shown. The origins go back to 1988 with the proposal of Fukuda et al. **CEBOT**[32] (CELLular ROboT) developed at the Technology Institute of Tokyo. Each Cebot is treated as an autonomous cell that can move and join itself to others. Also the idea of a dynamically re-configurable robotics systems was developed[31]. This is a similar idea to the re-configurable robotics but it is applied to structures, instead of to locomotion. The system can be reorganised to carry out more complicated tasks. Each cell has its own knowledge (known as knowledge cells) and can use the knowledge of others. It is a system of distributed intelligence.

Chirikjian et al., of John Hopkins University PKL (*Protein Kinematics Lab.*) proposed the **metamorphic manipulators**[12]. It is a net of modules arranged in two dimensions that can move through a global structure because they have the ability to couple and uncouple with each other. Compared to CEBOT the modules can not move on their own, only being able to do so when connected to adjacent modules. The kinematics of these manipulators was studied[13] and their use was proposed for capturing satellites in space. Initially the manipulator has an undefined form, like an amoeba. By means

---

<sup>18</sup>The link for more information: <http://www.molecubes.org/>





Figure 2.17: Various lattice type modular robots

of the movement of some modules over others some tentacles appear that wrap round the object to be captured. Pamecha et al. implemented it in two modules, the robot was called **Metamorphic**[106]. Each module is of hexagonal shape and can warp by means of 3 actuators.

The idea of metamorphic manipulators was perfected by Murata et al., of the AIST, with the prototype **Fracta**[100]. The ‘cells’ are much simpler and do not have any actuators which means less consumption. To carry out the displacement and the coupling/uncoupling permanent magnets and electro-magnets are used. The same as Metamorphic, the structures that are formed are two dimensional.

The idea was enlarged with the building of three dimensional structures, and the designing of the robot **3D-Fracta**[101] with a cubic structure and six arms that join the centre with each one of the cube’s faces. A total of 6 actuators are used. These investigations together with Mark Yim’s idea of modular robotics were the seeds of the hybrid modular robot M-TRAN, that not only can move but also shape three dimensional structures.

Hamblin et al. created **Tetrobot**[45], formed by a tetrahedral module with spherical articulations. The system is reconfigured manually. Experiments have been done with arms and walking robots.

At the CMU’s Advanced Mechatronics Lab Unsal et al. developed the **I-CUBE** robot[54] formed by two elements: cubes (passive) and active segments. The segments have three degrees of freedom and are used as arms that hook onto the cubes. Different three dimensional structures can be built and they have the ability to modify themselves<sup>19</sup>.

In the PARC, Suh et al. developed the **Telecubes** robot[137]<sup>20</sup>. It is a cube with 6 prismatic articulations that allows it to move all of its faces. What is more all of the faces have a coupling/uncoupling system by which modules can be connected one to another, and disconnected. With this system very compact 3D structures can be created and can re-configure themselves.

The distributed robotics laboratory of MIT<sup>21</sup> is also interested in modular robots. Kotay et al. have created **Molecule**[71]. This robot imitates a two atom molecule, joined by a rigid segment. Each atom has 5 connectors to join it to other molecules and two degrees of liberty. The grouping of various molecules permits the creation of structures in two as well as three dimensions. In the first prototype only one molecule was implemented. Further work was done to improve the modules and a two molecule structure was implemented[69][70].

In the same laboratory, Rus et al. worked on **Crystal**[116], a configurable robot made up of atoms that can form two-dimensional structures. The atoms are four faced cubes that can expand. In contrast to other modular robots, where there is translation of the atoms, movement in this one is only obtained by expansion and compression [117].

<sup>19</sup>More information in <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~unsal/research/ices/cubes/>

<sup>20</sup>More information in <http://www2.parc.com/spl/projects/modrobots/lattice/telecube/index.html>

<sup>21</sup>More information: [http://groups.csail.mit.edu/drl/wiki/index.php/Main\\_Page](http://groups.csail.mit.edu/drl/wiki/index.php/Main_Page)

The latest prototype developed in the MIT is **Miche**[34]. The idea is completely different to the rest of the modular robots. It starts from the idea of an amorphous structure, as if it was a marble block in the world of sculpture. The user specifies the 3D form he wants to “sculpture”. He makes the calculations and the system disconnects from the amorphous mass all the unnecessary modules. When the object is taken, the unused modules stay on the ground, leaving the created structure. The modules are cubes that only have the capacity to join themselves one with the other (they do not have any degrees of freedom). Among the experiments carried out a dog and a humanoid have been “sculptured”.

In Denmark, at the the Maersk Mc-Kinney Moller Institute for Production Technology, work is being done on **ATRON**[60]. Starting with the ideas of CONRO and M-TRAN a spherical module has been created that can rotate around its equator, dividing the module into two semi-spheres that rotate, one in relation to the other. The modules can link together in such a way that the rotation can be made on any one of the three axis: x, y, z. In the latest version 100 of these modules have been created and diverse simulations and experiments have been realised[17].

Goldstein et al., of CMU, are developing the idea of synthesising real structures in three dimensions from virtual modules, within the project **Claytronics**[35]<sup>22</sup>. The aim is the development of what is denominated a Claytronics: a computer generated synthetic object, but with a real physical structure. These systems are formed by atoms called **Catoms** (Claytronics Atoms) that can move in three dimensions in all the structure. By the re-combination of these atoms the Claytronic attains the desired shape. In the Miche prototype real structures are also synthesised, but the approach is that of a “sculpturer” that eliminates excess material. In the Claytronics’ approach it is its own atoms that reorganise to create the object.

In the first phase work is being done on Catoms restricted to two dimensions [67]. The movement of these catoms is obtained through the correct co-ordination of electro-magnets, in such a way that not one type of actuator is needed. The aim is to be able to miniaturise to achieve nano robots of this type that can be relocated to form the Claytronics.

In the Bio Inspired Robotics Laboratory (BIRG) and learning algorithms (LASA) of EPFL an innovative concept has been suggested. Use three dimensional structures for creating furniture that can be reconfigured. The prototype of the proposed module is **RoomBot**[2]<sup>23</sup> and is inspired in Yamor. In the figure 2.18 the shape of some of the furniture, made up of these modules, is shown. The desire is that the furniture forms part of the new centre that is being constructed. They are not only static, but also have the ability to move<sup>24</sup>.




Figure 2.18: RoomBot prototype: a lattice modular robot designed to make re-configurable furniture

## 2.7 Classification of the modular robots

The figure 2.19 shows a classification of the modular robots according to their structure and connections, that will be explained below. All the robots presented previously are placed in different groups.

To study the modular robots' locomotive property configurations it is essential to classify them by groups that share the same characteristics. The proposed classification is based on the structure and the connections between the modules. One must emphasise that the re-configurable modular robots can belong to different groups, due to the fact that various configurations can be built with them. For example, with the Polybot modules an apodal robot with connections pitch-pitch can be created; that is included among the robots with one dimensional topology. But also a quadruped with two dimensional topology can be built.

Mark Yim[163] proposes a basic division of three groups: reticule (lattice), chains and hybrids. The **lattice type** modular robots connect with each other to form structures, in the same way that atoms join together to form complex or solid molecules. They are the robots that have been described in the section 2.6. The idea behind all of them is to make structures that can dynamically modify themselves. According to the type of structure, they can be grouped as 2D or 3D. Among the first are

<sup>22</sup>More information in: <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~claytronics/hardware/planar.html>

<sup>23</sup>More information: <http://birg.epfl.ch/page65721.html>

<sup>24</sup>Author's note: I am not very clear what use is this mobile furniture, nevertheless it seems to me an entertaining application. I would like to visit the centre to see the system in action ;-)






Figure 2.19: Classification of the modular robots




Figure 2.20: Example of the three sub-types of chain modular robots: a) 1D Topology; b) 2D Topology 2D; c) 3D Topology 3D

**Metamorphic**[12], **Fracta**[100], **Crystal**[117] and **Catom**[67]. Among the second **Fracta 3D**[101], **Molecule**[71], **Telecube**[137], **I-Cube**[144], **ATRON**[60] and **Miche**[34].

The **chain type** modular robots are formed by uniting different chains of modules. For example the structure of a quadruped robot can be seen as made up of five chains: a central one that acts as the spine and four more for the extremities. The robots of this group are better for locomotion because they reconstruct animal morphology. The chains of modules can act like feet, arms, spine, etc. The lattice type robots, though they can also move, are much slower, as they are based not on the global movement of the structures, but on module to module movement. The **hybrid modular robots** possess the characteristics of both these groups: surfaces can be built with them as well as chain like structures. Within this group are found the most advanced modular robots, **M-TRAN**[102] and **SuperBot**[124].

At the same time, according to their topology, **chain type robots** can be divided. They can have a 1D topology, such as worms and snakes, 2D topologies, quadrupeds, polygonal structures such as stars, pentagons, etc., or 3D topologies such as hedgehogs. In the figure 2.20 can be seen an example of the different topologies. Once more it must be emphasised that the re-configurable robots can have configurations with different topologies, for this reason they can be placed in various groups. The criterion followed in the diagram has been to place the robots according to the experiments that have been carried out with them. For example, with Polybot the experiments have been with the quadruped configuration, therefore it has been included in the 2D topologies group, but it has also been tested with a worm configuration, therefore it is in the 1D topology group.

The **1D topologies** can be worms, snakes, arms, legs, spines, etc. In general these structures are very flexible and can adopt different shapes. They can, for example, be introduced into tubes, intestines or in general tortuous routes. If they are sufficiently long, they can form loops and move like a wheel[64][136].

According to how the propulsion to move the robot is generated, we propose two categories. One is what we call **apodal robots**<sup>25</sup> that comprises all the robots that move by means of body motions.

<sup>25</sup>Clarifying terminology: Granosik et al., proposed calling both groups of snake robots and serpentine robots. The first is what I baptised as apodal robots, and the second as self-propelled apodal robots




Figure 2.21: Different types of connections in the apodal robots a) Pitch-pitch. b) Yaw-yaw. c) Pitch-yaw.

The modules alone are unable to move, but when they are joined to form a chain and are adequately co-ordinated manage to do so. That would be the case of the biological worms and snakes. In another group are the robots that move by means of active wheels or caterpillar tracks. These are known as **self propelled apodal robots**. In these each module can move as an autonomous unit, using this system of propulsion. This group is employed in applications of search and rescue or inspection of tubes or bridges. Generally they are more industrial. As their mobility is by wheels or caterpillar tracks they can move across a wide variety of terrain. Being of 1D topology they possess the flexibility of this group and therefore can adapt their shape to the terrain, climb obstacles, go through tubes, etc.

Among the **apodal robots propelled by wheels** there is: **Koryu I**[52], **Gembu**[66], **GMD-Snake**[68], **Makro** [111] and **Swarmbot** [94]. Among the **apodal robots propelled by caterpillar tracks**: **KoryuII**[53], **Souryu I** [138], **Souryu II**[140], **Kogha** [61], **Omnitread OT-8** [43], **Omnitread OT-4**[4] and **JL-I**[171].

In the **apodal robots group**, we propose classifying them according to how the modules are connected one to the other. As can be seen in the figure 2.21, the connection can be of yaw-yaw type (that is to say the modules rotate parallel to the ground), or pitch-pitch (they do it perpendicularly) and pitch-yaw where the modules that rotate parallel to the ground alternate with those that turn perpendicularly to the ground.

The connectivity between modules is a very important characteristic and determines what sort of movement can be carried out. Therefore, the **yaw-yaw group** is that that includes all the robots that move like snakes. This type of movement requires that the friction coefficient in the tangent to the body axis is very small while the normal is infinite (or the greatest possible). The snakes obtain this thanks to their scaly skin. The snake robots use passive wheels to fulfil this requirement. For this reason, this group is special. Not only does it need body movement but also the passive wheels or skins. They are, therefore, specific robots. If one takes generic modules (for example the Polybot) and builds a robot of this group, one cannot obtain locomotion without adding external elements.

Within this group are found all the robots that are developed based on the gait of snakes on the level. They are: **ACM-III**[47], **ACM-R1**[48], (**Ma et. al**) [82], **SES-2**[145], **S5**[90], **WormBot**[19] and **Amphibot I & II**[21].




Figure 2.22: An example of the problem of co-ordination in a quadruped robot with 12 articulations. Finding the functions  $\varphi_i(t)$  so that the robot can move

The **pitch-pitch group** only allows the robots to move in one dimension, forwards or backwards. It is a movement similar to the worms or caterpillars. They can also turn over on themselves to form a wheel. In this thesis this group of robots is used for a detailed study of one dimensional locomotion. The following robots have been subject to experiments with this type of connectivity: **M-TRAN**[102], **Polybot**[157], **Superbot**[124], **Yamor**[92], **PP**[40] and **Cube Revolutions**[39]. These last two carried out in this thesis.

The **pitch-yaw group** allows the robot to carry out many types of different movements, such as roll, side winding, climb, etc. Some of the robots already mentioned that have this type of connectivity are: **ACM-R2**[142], **ACM-R3**[96], **ACM-R4**[152], **ACM-R5**[142], **Helix**[139], **(Downlin)**[27], **CMU-snake**[150], **Polybot**[157], **Ckbot**[165], **Conro**[8], **SMA**[153], **(Chen et al.)**[11], **PYP**[40] and **Hypercube**[42]. These last two created by the author in this thesis.

## 2.8 Co-ordination and locomotion

We have presented the state of the art of apodal and modular robots, and have classified them according to their structure and connectivity. In this section their control will be analysed. We will see what alternatives exist to resolve problems of co-ordination and which is the one we have employed in this thesis.

### 2.8.1 The problem of co-ordination

When a mobile robot has wheels or tracks, the lower level of locomotion does not present any problems. One only has to make the motors turn to obtain the movement. The difficulties appear in the superior level tasks, such as the planning of routes and navigation.

Nevertheless, if the robot is articulated and it either has feet or it is an apodal robot, the problem of co-ordination arises, even if the movement is on a flat surface without obstacles. It is necessary that all movements of the articulations are synchronised so that the robot can travel. This problem can be stated in the following way:

**Co-ordination problem:** *Given that a robot with  $M$  articulations find the functions  $\phi_i(t)$  that decide how it has to vary with time the angle of each articulation so that the robot manages to move.*

In the figure 2.22 an example of a quadruped modular robot can be seen, with two dimension topology and twelve articulations. The problem of the co-ordination will be solved if the functions  $\phi_1(t), \dots, \phi_{12}(t)$  are found and the value of its parameters with which the quadruped is capable of travelling. The solution depends on the gait that one desires to obtain: movement in a straight line, sideways, turns, etc., and in general it will not be the only one.

In the following sections the different ways of addressing the problem are presented.

### 2.8.2 First approach: Manual solution

To implement easily the locomotion in modular robots, Mark Yim used, in Polypod, what he called ‘**gait control tables**’ [155]. The columns are vectors that contain an articulation’s discrete position for each moment. The positions are kept in the files momentarily. The controller revises the tables, sending at each moment the position to the actuators. When it arrives at the last line it starts from the beginning, carrying out a repetitive movement.

When the modular robots do not have many modules and the movements are simple, these tables can be created manually. It permits the definition of sequences of movement “frame by frame”, as if it were an animated film. This is the remedy adopted in the robot **Puchobot I**, developed by Prieto-Moreno[108]. Using a software with a graphic interface that runs in the PC, the user establishes the position of the articulations, that are recorded in the control table.

Another example is the hexapod robot **Melanie III** of Alonso-Puig[1]. The application that runs in the PC permits the manual generation of the sequences. The positioning of the articulations can be done either by the graphic interface itself (using sliders) or by using gestural programming, in such a way that the user places the robot’s feet in the desired positions and the application records them.

The manual solutions allows for the prototypes of the robots to be tested during their construction and to detect at an early stage of design any possible mechanical problems. Also it allows to explore very quickly possible solutions for the co-ordination. Nevertheless, they lack flexibility. To enable the robot to move in different ways a new control table has to be created. Also, if the robot possesses a lot of articulations, its creation can be tedious and complex.

### 2.8.3 Approach II: Inverse Kinematics

The classic approach is based on the idea of employing inverse kinematics. The idea is to apply the same techniques used for manipulators, but to the robot's feet. The functions  $\varphi_i(t)$  are obtained from the functions of the trajectory of the supporting points. This method has been studied in detail in hexapods by Fligliolini[30] and has been implemented in the Alonso-Puig robot Melanie[1]. The trajectories of the feet's extremities are specified by means of sinusoidal functions. Using inverse kinematics the position of the angles  $\varphi_i(t)$  are obtained.

The apodal robots can be considered as hyper hiper-redundant manipulators, formed by infinite articulations. Chirjkjian[14] employs functions to describe the form that the manipulator must adopt and gets the angular expressions. Gonzalez et al.[41] have also explored this type of solution, but using what is denominated the adjustment algorithm, in which it iterates on the articulations and goes finding the angles so that all of them are placed on a curve. With these algorithms the control tables have been automatically created and have successfully moved an eight articulation apodal robot. Spranklin[132], in his doctoral thesis, studies the kinematics and the dynamics of an apodal robot of the pitch-pitch group and proposes a solution using classic controllers.

The inconvenience of the classic approach based on inverse kinematics is that it requires greater computing power compared with the bio-inspired approach, that will be discussed next. Therefore the bio-inspired controllers generally need less powerful (and cheaper) microprocessors for their implementation.

### 2.8.4 Approach III: Bio-inspired

Another approach is to use nature as a source of ideas, and try to imitate it. Living beings in the animal kingdom just move. Their brains do not seem to be constantly reading the position of their extremities  $(x, y, z)$ , nor to use inverse kinematics to constantly contract their muscles.

In nature the vertebrates and invertebrates have special neurones called CPGs (*Central Pattern Generators*). These centres oscillate and produce rhythms that control muscle activity to carry out actions such as breathing, bowel movements, masticating, locomotion, etc. Based on biological studies mathematical models are constructed from these oscillators and they are applied to robots to control locomotion. In this bio-inspired approach the functions  $\varphi_i(t)$  to be applied are obtained from the mathematical models of the CPGs. In contrast to the inverse kinematics approach, during the movement a bio-inspired controller does not know the position of its extremities. It only acts on the muscles to obtain locomotion. For this reason the computing power necessary is, in principal, less than that for approach II.



Figure 2.23: The lamprey used by the biologists to study its CPGs

#### 2.8.4.1 CPGs and Biology

One of biology's investigation tasks is the physiology where, among other things, the mechanisms of living beings that carry out the basic functions, are studied, as for example walking. The existence of pattern generators was documented for the first time by Wilson[148] in his study on locust flight. In the experiment carried out by Shik et al. on de-cerebrated cats[127] in 1966, it was observed that a vertebrate's locomotion mechanism is situated in the spinal column, and was also based on pattern generators. The brain stimuli are not in charge of this movement, rather its "modulation". In 1980 Delcomyn[25] coined the term CPG to refer to this group of neurones that oscillate rhythmically.

Within this group of vertebrates, **the lamprey** (see figure 2.23) is the one that is most used to study CPGs, because its spinal column is transparent, contains few cells and lasts at least a week outside of the animal (in a saline solution) without deterioration. This allows the biologists to carry out experiments more easily[113].

Cohen proposed a **mathematical model for the lamprey's CPG**[18] and later Williams et al. carried out various experiments on the different phases observed that generated the patterns[147], and the effects on the co-ordination[129].

#### 2.8.4.2 CPGs and Robotics

The fusion of different fields of investigation always illuminates and permits addressing the problems from another perspective. This has occurred with robotics and biology. One of the pioneers in applying CPG models to robotics has been Ijspeert, of EPFL's Bio-inspired Robotics Laboratory. In his doctoral thesis[55] he proposed neuronal models for the implementation of CPGs for lamprey and salamander locomotion, thereby placing the foundations for its posterior implementation in a real robot. By means of evolutive algorithms parameters are obtained for an optimal locomotion. In later work he continued carrying out simulations of his models[59] and in 2004, together with Crespi, implemented the first prototype of **amphibot**[21] (see section 2.3.4), demonstrating the viability of his bio-inspired model for robot locomotion.

In later work the model was improved and the transition from one of the salamander's gaits to the other has been investigated. This animal's characteristics is that it can swim and also move on land. Both gaits have been modelled, simulated and implemented in amphibot[57]. In [56] the problem of how to make a smooth transition has been studied.

The CPGs model has not only demonstrated its value in specific robot designs, such as amphibot, but is also being successfully employed in the movement of generic modules. The EPFL is leader in these matters. Bourkin carried out simulations of locomotion of a modular robot with different morphologies such as: wheel, worm, quadruped. All of them used CPGs[5]. Further improvements in the simulations were made by Marbach et al.[86][87], and the validations in the robot Yamour were carried out by Sproewitz et al. [133][134] and [89].

The CPGs model has also been successfully applied in the modular robot M-tran. Kamimura et al. used Matsuoka's CPGs model[88] to implement the locomotion of a worm and a quadruped[63].

The CPGs bio-inspired model is not only being used in modular robots, but also in locomotion for quadruped robots [33][130], in the eight footed robot Scorpion, and en in humanoid robots [28].

In the neuro-computation group of the Autonomous University of Madrid's Politechnic School Hertero et al. have modelled and implemented CPGs based on Rulkov's model[115] to control an eight segment worm robot [46]. The experiments were carried out with the Cube Revolution robot, developed in this thesis.

### 2.8.5 Approach IV: sinusoidal generators

The problem of co-ordination has been resolved by nature. Therefore it is "only" necessary to imitate it to obtain robot locomotion. Nevertheless in the biological mechanisms there is certain complexity as well as a lot of redundancy. Perhaps the answers appear to be very specialised or too "rich" supplying too much information could be unnecessary for robot locomotion.

In the field of neuro-computing all the neurons and CPGs are modelled in detail, the mathematics equations are obtained and then simulated later. Moreover, it is possible to try these models in real robots, with the aim of confirming if they are correct, comparing the locomotion of the artificial animal robots with the real ones. The aim of these experiments, therefore, is to confirm the value of the models. The robot is only a medium towards this end. Nevertheless, from the robotics perspective what happens is the opposite. The aim is to have a robot that can move in the best way possible, with the least consumption of power and resources. The neuro-computing models are used as inspiration and the necessary simplifications are applied.

Due to this, another way of addressing the problem of the co-ordination is **to employ solutions based on the simplest of CPGs models possible**, in such a way that their implementation is simple



and needs the least resources. One possible simplification is **to substitute the CPGs by sinusoidal generators** that directly control the position of the robot's articulations, This simplification is workable for the study of robotics locomotion in a permanent regime, in that when the CPGs have reached the stationary regime, they behave like fixed frequency oscillators. What is more, observation of animal locomotion shows that the frequencies of the rhythmic movements are the same and there is no evidence that the different spinal oscillators use different frequencies[55][87].

One of the aims of this thesis is to explore the locomotion of apodal robots of the pith-pitch and pitch-yaw groups, using sinusoidal generators. This idea has been recently used for the movement of one dimensional topology robots to obtain smooth, natural, life like movements, as for example in the latest apodal robots of the CMU [81][150]. Chen et al. are using them to obtain movements that adapt to the surroundings [10]. Also the viability in two dimensional topology robots is being studied. Such is the case of the quadruped **PuchBot II**[109] in which Prieto-Moreno et al. have employed sinusoidal generators for movement in a straight line.

## 2.9 Modular robots applications

Modular robots have some characteristics that make them unique. Outstanding among them are movement flexibility, self repairing, self reproducing, self configuration and formation of solid structures.

Though these very advanced prototypes exist, as yet the possibility of their practical use is being explored. In the following section some of the references already given will be classified according to the three principal applications that are being evaluated.

- **Search and rescue:** [156][90][149][49][61][171].
- **Inspection of tubes and bridges:** [138][140][111][43][105]
- **Space exploration:** [162][131][124][77][79][141]

## 2.10 Conclusions

In this chapter we have seen the evolution of apodal modular robots and the latest prototypes that have been created in the most important international investigation centres. **The problem of locomotion** has been presented, and in contrast to the classical solutions using rigid structures with wheels, caterpillars or feet, the idea has arisen of using **self-configuring modular robots**, that are capable, at any moment, of changing their shape to be able to move in the most efficient way. Also modular robots have been developed that are orientated to the creation of two or three dimensional structures,

in a similar way to matter being formed by atoms and molecules. This will allow, in future, creation of solid objects that can change their shape.

From the view point of structure, in the last decade interest has grown in **apodal robots**. They have a one dimension topology that gives them a unique locomotive capability, such as the possibility of deforming the body to go through tubes or areas with many nooks and crannies. These robots move by means of body movement, in a way reminiscent of snakes and worms. **Self-propelled apodal robots** have been developed to be used in practical applications, they also have a one dimension topology, but movement is obtained by means of wheels or caterpillar tracks situated on each module and not by means of body movement.

To sum up, **a classification for all the mentioned robots has been established**, using as a yardstick the structures that they can form and the connection between modules. The groups in which this thesis is interested are the **apodal robots of pitch-pitch and pitch-yaw type**. The robot group with connection yaw-yaw, similar to real snakes, has been studied fully by other investigators. These robots need special conditions of friction between the body and the surface they travel on, which means that in the existing prototypes passive wheels or artificial skins have been added. Nevertheless, in the other groups locomotion is obtained solely by means of body motions. Their study will permit that any generic modular robot that adopt a one dimensional topology can move without having to use special modules or artificial skins.

When the inferior level of modular robot locomotion is studied **the problem of co-ordination** arises. This consists in calculating the functions and the parameters that must be applied to each of the articulations so that the robot can move. One way of solving the problem and which has led to very good results is the **bio-inspired approach** based on using the **mathematical model of animal CPGs** as control functions.

From the viewpoint of biology the CPGs are studied to understand their functioning and to know more about living organisms. To do this measurements on different animals are carried out, and mathematical equations are proposed to model these CPGs, simulations are made and are recently being implemented in animal robotics to confirm if they are correct, That is to say, **biological knowledge is used as an instrument to validate the robots**. Investigation concentrates on obtaining data and the modelling of the CPGs.

Nevertheless, from the robotics point of view the contrary happens. The aim is to have a robot that can move in the best way possible, with the least consumption of power and resources. The biological models are used as inspiration and the necessary simplifications are applied. The internal parameters of the CPGs and the biological significance does not have such importance. For this reason the other approach to robotics locomotion is that **the robots employ simplified CPGs models**. In this thesis we propose a model for locomotion of apodal robots based on **sinusoidal generators**.

Although other investigators have constructed prototypes of apodal robots of the groups pitch-pitch and pitch-yaw, up to now their locomotion has not been addressed from a general perspective. The

problems of direct and inverse kinematics have not been solved, neither has their locomotion been related to the number of the robot's modules. The following questions, therefore, are unanswered: *What is the minimum number of modules that a robot has to have to be able to move in one or two dimensions? What is the minimum number of control parameters necessary to obtain locomotion of the apodal robots, whatever the number of their modules? How to calculate the step that an apodal robot takes in function of the parameters employed in the sinusoidal generators? What amplitudes and differences of phase are to be applied to the modules' oscillations so that the robot fulfils the given restrictions?*

This thesis deals with, from a general perspective, the study of the apodal robot's locomotion, equally in one as in two dimensions. The relationship between the oscillators' parameters and the way in which they move the robot is established. A methodology is proposed to resolve the problems of inverse and direct kinematics and all the ideas are summed up in principals of locomotion. The **minimum configurations** are presented, that is the robots with the least number of modules that can move, with the movements they can make and the necessary control parameters' values. Finally experiments in simulation and with real modules have been carried out, that confirm the principles enunciated.

**This thesis confirms the viability of using sinusoidal generators** to control the permanent regime of the apodal robots locomotion. This allows the implementation of controllers using less resources than with the classical approaches and therefore they can be integrated into low range microprocessors, or directly as part of an FPGA's hardware.



# Bibliography

- [1] A. Alonso-Puig. Application of Waves Displacement Algorithms for the Generation of Gaits in an All terrain Hexapod. In *Climbing and Walking Robots*, pages 343–348, September 2005.
- [2] R. Arredondo. Design and simulation of locomotion of self-organising modular robots for adaptive furniture. Master’s thesis, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Lausanne, Biologically Inspired Robotics Group, July 2006.
- [3] J. Bares and D. Wettergreen. Dante ii: Technical description, results and lessons learned. *International Journal of Robotics Research*, 18(7):621–649, July 1999.
- [4] J. Borenstein, M. Hansen, and H. Nguyen. The OmniTread OT-4 Serpentine Robot for Emergencies and Hazardous Environments. In *Proceeding of the International Joint Topical Meeting: Sharing Solutions for Emergencies and Hazardous Environments*, 12 February 2006.
- [5] Y. Bourkin. Self-Organization of Locomotion in Modular Robots. Master’s thesis, University of Sussex, Brighton, United Kingdom, 2004.
- [6] A. Castano, A. Behar, and P. Will. The Conro modules for reconfigurable robots. *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, 7(4):403–409, December 2002.
- [7] A. Castano, R. Chokkalingam, and P. Will. Autonomous and self-sufficient CONRO modules for reconfigurable robots. In *Proc. 5th Int’l Symp. Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems*, pages 155–164, Knoxville, TN, 2000. Springer-Verlag.
- [8] A. Castano, W. Shen, and P. Will. CONRO: Towards deployable robots with inter-robots metamorphic capabilities. *Autonomous Robots*, 8(3):309–324, June 2000.
- [9] A. Castano and P. Will. Representing and discovering the configuration of CONRO robots. In *Proc. of IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, pages 3503–3509, 2001.
- [10] L. Chen, Y. Wang, B. Li, S. Ma, and D Duan. Study on Locomotion of a Crawling Robot for Adaptation to the Environment. In Maki K. Habib, editor, *Bioinspiration and Robotics: Walking and Climbing Robots*, chapter 18, pages 301–316. I-Tech Education and Publishing, September 2007.

- [11] L. Chen, Y. Wang, and S. Ma. Studies on lateral rolling locomotion of a snake robot. In *Robotics and Automation, 2004. Proceedings. ICRA '04. 2004 IEEE International Conference on*, volume 5, pages 5070–5074, April 2004.
- [12] G. S. Chirikjian. Metamorphic Hyper-redundant Manipulators. In *Proceedings of the 1993 JSME International Conference on Advanced Mechatronics*, pages 467–472, August 1993.
- [13] G.S. Chirikjian. Kinematics of a metamorphic robotic system. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, volume 1, pages 449–455, May 1994.
- [14] G.S Chirjkjian and J.W Burdick. Kinematically optimal hyperredundant manipulator configurations. *IEEE transactions on Robotics and Automation*, 11:794–806, 1995.
- [15] H. Choset. Coverage for robotics - a survey of recent results. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence*, 31:113 – 126, 2001.
- [16] H. Choset and W. Henning. A follow-the-leader approach to serpentine robot motion planning. In *ASCE Journal of Aerospace Engineering*, 1999.
- [17] D.J Christensen and K. Stoy. Selecting a Meta-Module to Shape-Change the ATRON Self-Reconfigurable Robot. In *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automations*, pages 2532–2538, May 2006.
- [18] A. H. Cohen and P.J Holmes. The Nature of the Couplings Between Segmental Oscillators of the Lamprey Spinal Generator for Locomotion: A Mathematical Model. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, 13:345–369, 1982.
- [19] J. Conradt and P. Varshavskaya. Distributed central pattern generator control for a serpentine robot. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks and Neural Information Processing*, 2003.
- [20] A. Crespi, A. Badertscher, and A. Guignard. Swimming and crawling with an amphibious snake robot. In *Proceedings of the 2005 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 3035–3039, 2005.
- [21] A. Crespi, A. Badertscher, A. Guignard, and A. J. Ijspeert. An amphibious robot capable of snake and lamprey-like locomotion. In *Proceedings of the 35th international symposium on robotics*, 2004.
- [22] A. Crespi, A. Badertscher, A. Guignard, and A. J. Ijspeert. AmphiBot I : an amphibious snake-like robot. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 50(4):163–175, 2005.
- [23] A. Crespi and A. J. Ijspeert. AmphiBot II: an amphibious snake robot that crawls and swims using a central pattern generator. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Climbing and Walking Robots*, pages 19–27, 2006.

- [24] A. Degani, A. Shapiro, H. Choset, and M. Mason. A dynamic single actuator vertical climbing robot. In *Intelligent Robots and Systems, 2007. IROS 2007. IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*, pages 2901–2906, November 2007.
- [25] F. Delcomyn. Neural basis of rhythmic behavior in animals. *Science*, 210:492–498, 1980.
- [26] S. Dirk and F. Kirchner. The Bio-Inspired SCORPION Robot: Design, Control and Lessons Learned. In Houxiang Zhang, editor, *Climbing and Walking Robots, Towards New Applications*, pages 197–218, Vienna, Austria, October 2007. I-tech Education and Publishing.
- [27] K. Dowling. *Limbless Locomotion: Learning to Crawl with a Snake Robot*. PhD thesis, Robotics Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, December 1997.
- [28] G. Endo and J. Nakanishi. Experimental studies of a neural oscillator for biped locomotion with QRIO. In *Proceedings of the 2005 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 596–602, April 2005.
- [29] G. Endo, K. Togawa, and S. Hirose. Study on self-contained and Terrain Adaptive Active Cord Mechanism. In *Proc. of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and System*, pages 1399–1405, 1999.
- [30] G. Figliolini and P. Rea. Mechanics and Simulation of Six-Legged Walking Robots. In Houxiang Zhang, editor, *Climbing and Walking Robots, Towards New Applications*, chapter 1, pages 1–22. I-Tech Education and Publishing, October 2007.
- [31] S. Fukuda, T. Nakagawa. Dynamically reconfigurable robotic system. In *Proc. of the 1988 IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 1581–1586, April 1988.
- [32] T. Fukuda, S. Nakagawa, and Y. Kawauchi. Self organizing robots based on cell structures—CEBOT. In *Proc. of the IEEE/RSJ int. Conf. Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 145–150, 1988.
- [33] Y. Fukuoka and H. Kimura. Adaptive dynamic walking of a quadruped robot on irregular terrain based on biological concepts. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 22(3-4):187–202, 2003.
- [34] K. Gilpin, K. Kotay, D. Rus, and I. Vasilescu. Miche: Modular shape formation by self-disassembly. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 27(3-4):345–372, 2008.
- [35] S. C. Goldstein and T. C. Mowry. Claytronics: A scalable basis for future robots. In *RoboSphere 2004*, Moffett Field, CA, November 2004.
- [36] M.P. Golombek and et al. Selection of the Mars Exploration Rover landing sites. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108(E3):ROV13.1–ROV13.48, October 2003.
- [37] A. Golovinsky, M. Yim, Z. Ying, and C. Eldershaw. PolyBot and PolyKinetic System: a modular robotic platform for education. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, volume 2, pages 1381–1386, 2004.

- [38] J. González-Gómez. Diseño de Robots Ápodos. Master's thesis, Escuela Politécnica Superior. Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, July 2003. Available on line: <http://www.learobotics.com/personal/juan/doctorado/tea/tea.html> [Last visit May-14-2008].
- [39] J. Gonzalez-Gomez, Aguayo E., and E. Boemo. Locomotion of a Modular Worm-like Robot Using a FPGA-based Embedded MicroBlaze Soft-processor. In M. Armada and P. Gonzalez, editor, *Climbing and Walking Robots. Proceedings of the 7th International Conference CLAWAR 2004*, number ISBN 978-3-540-22992-6, pages 869–878. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.
- [40] J. Gonzalez-Gomez and E. Boemo. Motion of Minimal Configurations of a Modular Robot: Sinusoidal, Lateral Rolling and Lateral shift. In *Proc. of the Int. Conf. on Climbing and Walking Robots*, pages 667–674, September 2005.
- [41] J. Gonzalez-Gomez, I Gonzalez, F. Gomez-Arribas, and E. Boemo. Evaluation of a Locomotion Algorithm for Worm-Like Robots on FPGA-Embedded Processors. In *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, volume 3985, pages 24–29. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, March 2006.
- [42] J. Gonzalez-Gomez, H. Zhang, E. Boemo, and J. Zhang. Locomotion capabilities of a Modular Robot with Eighth Pitch-Yaw-Connecting Modules. In *Proc. of the Int. Conf. on Climbing and Walking machines*, pages 150–157, September 2006.
- [43] G. Granosik, M. G. Hansen, and J. Borenstein. The Omnitread Serpentine Robot for Industrial Inspection and Surveillance. *Industrial Robot*, 32(2):139–148, 2005.
- [44] R. Groß, M. Bonani, F. Mondada, and M. Dorigo. Autonomous self-assembly in a swarm-bot. In K. Murase, K. Sekiyama, N. Kubota, T. Naniwa, and J. Sitte, editors, *Proc. of the 3rd Int. Symp. on Autonomous Minirobots for Research and Edutainment (AMiRE 2005)*, pages 314–322. Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2006.
- [45] G.J. Hamlin and A.C. Sanderson. TETROBOT modular robotics: prototype and experiments. In *Proc. of the. IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, volume 2, pages 390 – 395, November 1996.
- [46] F. Herrero Carrón. Study and application of central pattern generators to the control of a modular robot. Master's thesis, Escuela Politécnica Superior, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 30 August 2007.
- [47] S. Hirose. *Biologically Inspired Robots (Snake-like Locomotor and Manipulator)*. Oxford Science Press, 1993.
- [48] S. Hirose and G. Endo. Development of Autonomous Snake-Like Robot ACM R-1. In *Proc. Annual Conf. Robotics and Mechatronics*, page 1997, 1997. (in Japanese).
- [49] S. Hirose and E.F. Fukushima. Snakes and Strings: New Robotic Components for Rescue Operations. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 23(4-5):341–349, 2004.



- [50] S. Hirose, Imazato M., Kudo Y., and Umetani Y. Internally-balanced magnet unit. *Advanced robotics*, 1(3):225–242, 1986.
- [51] S. Hirose and A. Morishima. Articulated Body Mobile Robot. In *Proc. 7th RoManSy Symp*, pages 1–8, 1988.
- [52] S. Hirose and A. Morishima. Design and control of a mobile robot with an articulated body. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 9(2):99–113, 1990.
- [53] S. Hirose, A. Morishima, and S. Tukagosi. Design of Practical Snake Vehicle: Articulated Body Mobile Robot KR-II. In *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Advanced Robotics*, volume 1, pages 833–838, 1991.
- [54] K. Hosokawa, T. Tsujimori, and T. Fujii. Self-organizing collective robots with morphogenesis in a vertical plane. In *Proc. of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, volume 4, pages 2858–2863, 1998.
- [55] A. J. Ijspeert. *Design of artificial neural oscillatory circuits for the control of lamprey- and salamander-like locomotion using evolutionary algorithms*. PhD thesis, University of Edinburgh, 1998.
- [56] A.J. Ijspeert and J.M. Cabelguen. Gait transition from swimming to walking: investigation of salamander locomotion control using non-linear oscillators. In *Adaptive Motion of Animals and Machines*, pages 177–188. Springer Tokyo, July 2006.
- [57] A.J. Ijspeert and A. Crespi. Simulation and robotics studies of salamander locomotion. Applying neurobiological principles to the control of locomotion in robots. *Neuroinformatics*, 3(3):171–196, 2005.
- [58] A.J. Ijspeert and A. Crespi. Online trajectory generation in an amphibious snake robot using a lamprey-like central pattern generator model. In *Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 262–268, 2007.
- [59] A.J. Ijspeert and J. Kodjabachian. Evolution and development of a central pattern generator for the swimming of a lamprey. *Artificial Life*, 5(3):247–269, 1999.
- [60] M.W. Jorgensen, E.H. Ostergaard, and H.H. Lund. Modular ATRON: modules for a self-reconfigurable robot. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, volume 2, pages 2068–2073, 2004.
- [61] T. Kamegawa, T. Yamasaki, H. Igarashi, and F. Matsuno. Development of the snake-like rescue robot. In *Proc. of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, volume 5, pages 5081–5086, April 2004.
- [62] A. Kamimura, H. Kurokawa, and E. Yoshida. Automatic locomotion design and experiments for a modular robotic system. *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, 10(3):314–325, June 2005.

- [63] A. Kamimura, H. Kurokawa, and T. Yoshida. Distributed adaptive locomotion by a modular robotic system, M-TRAN II. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, 2004. (IROS 2004).*, volume 3, pages 2370–2377, September 2004.
- [64] A. Kamimura, S. Murata, and E. Yoshida. Self-reconfigurable modular robot. Experiments on reconfiguration and locomotion. In *Proceedings of 2001 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 606–612, 2001.
- [65] A. Kamimura, S. Murata, E. Yoshida, H. Kurokawa, K. Tomita, and S. Kokaji. Self-reconfigurable modular robot - experiments on reconfiguration and locomotion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on intelligent Robots and Systems*, volume 1, pages 606–612, 2001.
- [66] H. Kimura and S. Hirose. Development of Genbu : Active wheel passive joint articulated mobile robot. In *Proc. of the IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and System*, volume 1, pages 823–828, 2002.
- [67] B. Kirby, J. D. Campbell, B. Aksak, P. Pillai, J. F. Hoberg, T. C. Mowry, and S. Goldstein. Catoms: Moving robots without moving parts. In *AAAI (Robot Exhibition)*, pages 1730–1, Pittsburgh, PA, July 2005.
- [68] B. Klaassen and K.L. Paap. GMD-SNAKE2: a snake-like robot driven by wheels and a method for motion control. In *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 3014–3019, 1999.
- [69] K. Kotay. *Self-Reconfiguring Robots: Designs, Algorithms, and Applications*. PhD thesis, Dartmouth College, Computer Science Department, 2003.
- [70] K. Kotay and D. Rus. Efficient Locomotion for a Self-Reconfiguring Robot. In *Proc. of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, pages 2963–2969, 2005.
- [71] K. Kotay, D. Rus, and M. Vona. The Self-reconfiguring Robotic Molecule. In *Proc. of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, pages 424–431, 1998.
- [72] E. Krotkov, R. Simmons, and W. Whittaker. Ambler: Performance of a six-legged planetary rover. *Acta Astronautica*, 35(1):75–81, 1995.
- [73] H. Kurokawa, A. Kamimura, and T. Yoshida. M-TRAN II: metamorphosis from a four-legged walker to a caterpillar. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, volume 3, pages 2454–2459, September 2003.
- [74] H. Kurokawa, K. Tomita, and A. Kamimura. Distributed Metamorphosis Control of a Modular Robotic System M-TRAN. In *Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems 7*, pages 115–124. Springer Japan, 2006.

- [75] H. Kurokawa, K. Tomita, and T. Yoshida. Motion simulation of a modular robotic system. In *IProceedings of the 26th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society*, volume 4, pages 2473–2478, 2000.
- [76] H. Kurokawaa, E. Yoshidaa, and K. Tomita. Self-reconfigurable M-TRAN structures and walker generation. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 54(2):142–149, February 2006.
- [77] S. J. Lawrence, G. J. Taylor, R. C. F. Lentz, L. M. Martel, W. Shen, M. Will, M. H. Sims, S. Colombano, D. Kortenkamp, B. Damer, and W. Chun. SuperBots on the lunar surface: A habitat operations and maintenance system (HOMS). In *Lunar Exploration Analysis Group (LEAG)*, 2005.
- [78] C. Leger. *Darwin 2k: An Evolutionary Approach to Automated Design for Robotics*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Norwell, MA, USA, 2000.
- [79] R. C. F. Lentz, G. J. Taylor, S. J. Lawrence, L. M. Martel, Wei-Min Shen, Peter M. Will, M. H. Sims, S. Colombano, D. Kortenkamp, B. Damer, and W. Chun. SuperBots on the lunar surface: A robotic multi-use lunar explorer (MULE). In *Lunar Exploration Analysis Group (LEAG)*, 2005.
- [80] R.A. Lindemann and C.J. Voorhees. Mars Exploration Rover mobility assembly design, test and performance. In *IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, volume 1, pages 450–455, October 2005.
- [81] K. Lipkin, I. Brown, A. Peck, H. Choset, J. Rembisz, P. Gianfortoni, and A. Naaktgeboren. Differentiable and piecewise differentiable gaits for snake robots. In *Proc. of the IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 1864–1869, October 2007.
- [82] S. Ma, H. Araya, and L. Li. Development of a creeping snake-robot. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Computational Intelligence in Robotics and Automation*, pages 77–82, 2001.
- [83] S. Ma, W.J. Li, and Y. Wang. A simulator to analyze creeping locomotion of a snake-like robot. In *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, volume 4, pages 3656–3661, 2001.
- [84] S. Ma and Y. Ohmameuda. Control of a 3-dimensional snake-like robot. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, volume 2, pages 2067–2072, September 2003.
- [85] S. Ma and N. Tadokoro. Analysis of Creeping Locomotion of a Snake-like Robot on a Slope. *Autonomous Robots*, 20(1):15–23, January 2006.
- [86] D. Marbach. Evolution and Online Optimization of Central Pattern Generators for Modular Robot Locomotion. Master’s thesis, School of Computer and Communication Sciences, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Lausanne., 7 January 2005.

- [87] D. Marbach and A.J. Ijspeert. Online Optimization of Modular Robot Locomotion. In *In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics & Automation*, pages 248–253, June 2005.
- [88] K. Matsuoka. Mechanisms of frequency and pattern control in the neural rhythm generators. *Biological Cybernetics*, 56(5-6):345–353, 1987.
- [89] J. Maye. Control of Locomotion in Modular Robotics. Master’s thesis, School of Computer and Communication Science. Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Lausanne, 23 February 2007.
- [90] P.G Miller. Snake Robots for Search and Rescue. In *Neurotechnology for Biomimetic Robots*, pages 271–284. MIT Press, 2002.
- [91] R. Moeckel, C. Jaquier, K. Drapel, E. Ditttrich, A. Upegui, and A.J. Ijspeert. Exploring adaptive locomotion with YaMoR, a novel autonomous modular robot with Bluetooth interface. *Industrial Robot*, 33(4):285–290, 2006.
- [92] R. Moeckel, C. Jaquier, K. Drapel, A. Upegui, and A. Ijspeert. YaMoR and bluemove – an autonomous modular robot with bluetooth interface for exploring adaptive locomotion. In *Proceedings CLAWAR 2005*, pages 685–692, 2005.
- [93] F. Mondada, G. C. Pettinaro, A. Guignard, I. Kwee, D. Floreano, J.-L. Deneubourg, S. Nolfi, L.M. Gambardella, and M. Dorigo. Swarm-bot: a new distributed robotic concept. *Autonomous Robots*, 17(2–3):193–221, 2004.
- [94] F. Mondada, G. C. Pettinaro, I. Kwee, A. Guignard, L. Gambardella, D. Floreano, S. Nolfi, J.-L. Deneubourg, and M. Dorigo. SWARM-BOT: A swarm of autonomous mobile robots with self-assembling capabilities. In C.K. Hemelrijk and E. Bonabeau, editors, *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Self-organisation and Evolution of Social Behaviour*, pages 307–312, Monte Verità, Ascona, Switzerland, September 8-13, 2002. University of Zurich.
- [95] M. Mori and S. Hirose. Development of Active Cord Mechanism ACM-R3 with Agile 3D mobility. In *Proc. of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and System*, pages 1552–1557, 2001.
- [96] M. Mori and S. Hirose. Three-dimensional serpentine motion and lateral rolling by Active Cord Mechanism ACM-R3. In *Proc. of IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. on intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 829–834, 2002.
- [97] J.M. Morrey, B. Lambrecht, A.D. Horschler, R.E. Ritzmann, and R.D. Quinn. Highly mobile and robust small quadruped robots. In *Proceedings. 2003 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, 2003. (IROS 2003).*, volume 1, pages 82–87, October 2003.
- [98] S. Murata, K. Kakomura, and H. Kurokawa. Docking Experiments of a Modular Robot by Visual Feedback. In *Proceeding of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 625–630, October 2006.

- [99] S. Murata and H. Kurokawa. Self-Reconfigurable Robot. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine*, 14(1):71–78, March 2007.
- [100] S. Murata, H. Kurokawa, and S. Kokaji. Self-assembling machine. In *Proc. of Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, volume 1, pages 441–448, 1994.
- [101] S. Murata, H. Kurokawa, E. Yoshida, K. Tomita, and S. Kokaji. A 3-D self-reconfigurable structure. In *Proc. of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, volume 1, pages 432–439, May 1998.
- [102] S. Murata, E. Yoshida, A. Kamimura, H. Kurokawa, K. Tomita, and S. Kokaji. M-TRAN: self-reconfigurable modular robotic system. *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, 7(4):431–441, December 2002.
- [103] S. Murata, E. Yoshida, and H. Kurokawa. Self-Repairing Mechanical Systems. *Autonomous Robots*, 10(1):7–21, January 2001.
- [104] S. Murata, E. Yoshida, K. Tomita, H. Kurokawa, A. Kamimura, and S. Kokaji. Hardware design of modular robotic system. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, volume 3, pages 2210–2217, November 2000.
- [105] Paap K.L., T. Christaller, and F. Kirchner. A robot snake to inspect broken buildings. In *Proceeding of the 2000 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 2079–2082, 2000.
- [106] A. Pamecha, C. Chiang, D. Stein, and G. Chirikjian. Design and implementation of metamorphic robots. In *Proceedings of the 1996 ASME Design Engineering Technical Conference and Computers in Engineering Conference*, August 1996.
- [107] M. Park, S. Chitta, A. Teichman, and M. Yim. Automatic Configuration Recognition Methods in Modular Robots. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 27(3-4):403–421, 2008.
- [108] A. Prieto-Moreno. Diseño, construcción y control de un robot articulado mediante una red de microcontroladores. Proyecto fin de carrera, UPM, February 2001.
- [109] A. Prieto-Moreno. Estudio de la locomoción de un robot cuadrúpedo mediante la generación de patrones biológicos. Master’s thesis, Escuela Politécnica superior. Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, October 2007.
- [110] R.D. Quinn, J.T. Offi, D.A. Kingsley, and R.E. Ritzmann. Improved mobility through abstracted biological principles. In *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and System, 2002.*, volume 3, pages 2652–2657, 2002.
- [111] E. Rome, J. Hertzberg, F. Kirchner, U. Licht, and T. Christaller. Towards autonomous sewer robots: the MAKRO project. *Urban Water*, 1(1):57–70, 1999.

- [112] K. Roufas, Y. Zhang, D. Duff, and M. Yim. Six Degree of Freedom Sensing For Docking Using IR LED Emitters. In *Experimental Robotics VII*, volume 271, pages 91–100. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2001.
- [113] C. M Rovainen. Neurobiology of lampreys. *Physiological Reviews*, 59(4):1007–1077, 1 October 1979.
- [114] M. Rubenstein, K. Payne, P. Will, and W. Shen. Docking among independent and autonomous CONRO self-reconfigurable robots. In *Proc. of the IEEE Int. conf. on Robotics and Automation*, pages 2877–2882, New Orleans, USA, April/May 2004.
- [115] N. F Rulkov. Modeling of spiking-bursting neural behavior using two-dimensional map. *Physical Review E*, 65(4):041922, April 2002.
- [116] D. Rus and M. Vona. Self-reconfiguration planning with compressible unit modules. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Intl. Conference on Robotics and Automation*, volume 4, pages 2513–2520, Detroit, MI, USA, 1999.
- [117] D. Rus and M. Vona. Crystalline robots: Self-reconfiguration with compressible unit modules. *Autonomous Robots*, 10(1):107–124, 2001.
- [118] B. Salemi, M. Moll, and W. Shen. SUPERBOT: A deployable, multi-functional, and modular self-reconfigurable robotic system. In *Proc. of the IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, Beijing, China, October 2006. To appear.
- [119] B. Salemi, W. Shen, and P. Will. Hormone-controlled metamorphic robots. In *Proc. of the Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, volume 4, pages 4194–419, 2001.
- [120] B. Salemi, P. Will, and W. Shen. Autonomous discovery and functional response to topology change in self-reconfigurable robots. In Dan Braha, Ali A. Minai, and Yaneer Bar-Yam, editors, *Complex Engineering Systems: Science Meets Technology*, pages 364–384. Springer, 2006.
- [121] J. Sastra, S. Chitta, and M. Yim. Dynamic Rolling for a Modular Loop Robot. In *Experimental Robotics*, volume 39 of *Springer Tracts in Advanced Robotics*, pages 421–430. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2008.
- [122] J. Sastra, W. Giovanni, B Heredia, and J. Clark. A biologically-inspired dynamic legged locomotion with a modular reconfigurable robot. In *Proceedings of ASME Dynamic Systems and Control Conference*, October 2008.
- [123] E. Shammass, A. Wolf, and H. Choset. Three degrees-of-freedom joint for spatial hyper-redundant robots. *Journal of Mechanism and Machine Theory*, pages 170 – 190, April 2005.
- [124] W. Shen, J. Bogdanowicz, W. Chun, M. Yim, Peter M. Will, M. Sims, S. Colombano, D. Kortenkamp, S. Vanderzyl, E. Baumgartner, and J. Taylor. SuperBots: Modular, multifunctional, reconfigurable robotic system for space exploration. In *Lunar Exploration Analysis Group (LEAG)*, 2005.

- [125] W. Shen, M. Krivokon, H. Chiu, J. Everist, M. Rubenstein, and J. Venkatesh. Multimode locomotion for reconfigurable robots. *Autonomous Robots*, 20(2):165–177, 2006.
- [126] W. Shen, B. Salemi, and P. Will. Hormone-inspired adaptive communication and distributed control for CONRO self-reconfigurable robots. *Trans. on Robotics and Automation*, 18(5):700–712, October 2002.
- [127] M. L. Shik and Severin. Control of walking and running by means of electrical stimulation of the mid-brain. *Biophysics*, 11:756–765, 1966.
- [128] B. Shirmohammadi, M. Yim, and J. Sastra. Using Smart Cameras to Localize Self-Assembling Modular Robots. In *DFirst ACM/IEEE International Conference on istributed Smart Cameras*,, pages 76–80, September 2007.
- [129] K.A . Sigvardt and T.L. Williams. Effects of local oscillator frequency on intersegmental coordination in the Lamprey locomotor Cpg: theory and experiments. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 76(6):4094–4103, December 1996.
- [130] D. Spenneberg, M. Albrecht, T. Backhaus, J. Hilljegerdes, F. Kirchner, and H. Zschenker. ARAMIES: A Four-legged Climbing and Walking Robot. In *'i-SAIRAS 2005' - The 8th International Symposium on Artificial Intelligence, Robotics and Automation in Space*, volume 603 of *ESA Special Publication*, August 2005.
- [131] D. Spenneberg, F. Kirchner, and J. de Gea. Ambulating robots for exploration in rough terrain on future extraterrestrial missions, 2004.
- [132] B. Spranklin. *Design, Analysis, and Fabrication of a Snake-Inspired Robot with a Rectilinear Gait*. PhD thesis, University of Maryland, June 2006.
- [133] A. Sproewitz, R. Moeckel, J. Maye, M. Asadpour, and A.J. Ijspeert. Adaptive locomotion control in modular robotics. In *Workshop on Self-Reconfigurable Robots/Systems and Applications IROS07*, pages 81–84, November 2007.
- [134] A. Sproewitz, R. Moeckel, J. Maye, and A. Ijspeert. Learning to move in modular robots using central pattern generators and online optimization. *Int. J. Rob. Res.*, 27(3-4):423–443, 2008.
- [135] H. Streich and O. Adria. Software approach for the autonomous inspection robot MAKRO. In *Proc. of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, volume 4, pages 3411– 3416, 2004.
- [136] Y. Sugiyama, A. Shiotsu, and M. Yamanaka. Circular/spherical robots for crawling and jumping. In *Proc. of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, pages 3595– 3600, 2005.
- [137] J.W. Suh, S.B. Homans, and M. Yim. Telecubes: mechanical design of a module for self-reconfigurable robotics. In *Proceeings of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, volume 4, pages 4095– 4101, 2002.

- [138] T. Takayama and S. Hirose. Development of Souryu-I connected crawler vehicle for inspection of narrow and winding space. In *Proceeding of the 26th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society*, volume 1, pages 143–148, 2000.
- [139] T. Takayama and S. Hirose. Amphibious 3D Active Cord Mechanism "HELIX" with Helical Swimming Motion. In *Proc. of IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. on intelligent Robots and Systems*, volume 1, pages 775–780, 2002.
- [140] T. Takayama and S. Hirose. Development of "Souryu I and II" -Connected Crawler Vehicle for Inspection of Narrow and Winding Space. *Robotics and Mechatronics*, 15(1):61–69, 2003.
- [141] G. J. Taylor, R. C. F. Lentz, S. J. Lawrence, L. M. Martel, Wei-Min Shen, Peter M. Will, M. H. Sims, S. Colombano, D. Kortenkamp, B. Damer, and W. Chun. SuperBots on the lunar surface: Mini-mobile investigation system (Mini-MIS). In *Lunar Exploration Analysis Group (LEAG)*, 2005.
- [142] K. Togawa, M. Mori, and S. Hirose. Study on Three-dimensional Active Cord Mechanism: Development of ACM-R2. In *Proc. of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and System*, pages 2242–2247, 2000.
- [143] Y. Umetani and S. Hirose. Biomechanical Study of Serpentine Locomotion. In *Proc. of the 1st RoManSySymp*, pages 171–184, 1974.
- [144] C. Unsal. I-Cubes, A Modular Self-Reconfigurable Bipartite System. *Robotics and Machine Perception*, 9(1), March 2000.
- [145] J. Ute and K. Ono. Fast and efficient locomotion of a snake robot based on self-excitation principle. In *Proc. 7th International Workshop on Advanced Motion Control*, pages 532–539, 2002.
- [146] W. Wang and G. Zong. Analysis on The Mechanics Stability for a New Kind of Robot. *Journal of Robot*, 21(7):642–648, 99.
- [147] T.L. Williams and K.A. Sigvardt. Intersegmental phase lags in the lamprey spinal cord: experimental confirmation of the existence of a boundary region. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience*, 1:61–67, 1994.
- [148] D. M. Wilson. The central nervous control of flight in a locust. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 38:471–490, 1961.
- [149] A. Wolf, H. Brown, R. Casciola, A. Costa, M. Schwerin, E. Shamas, and H. Choset. A mobile hyper redundant mechanism for search and rescue tasks. In *Proceedings of the 2003 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, volume 3, pages 2889 – 2895, October 2003.
- [150] C. Wright, A. Johnson, A. Peck, Z. McCord, A. Naaktgeboren, P. Gianfortoni, M. Gonzalez-Rivero, R. Hatton, and H. Choset. Design of a modular snake robot. In *Proc. of the IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 2609–2614, October 2007.



- [151] H. Yamada, S. Chigisaki, and M. Mori. Development of Amphibious Snake-like Robot ACM-R5. In *The Proc. of 36th Int. Symposium on Robotics*, 2005.
- [152] H. Yamada and S. Hirose. Development of Practical 3-Dimensional Active Cord Mechanism ACM-R4. *Journal of Robotics and Mechatronics*, 18(3), 2006.
- [153] M. Yamakita, M. Hashimoto, and T. Yamada. Control of locomotion and Head Configuration of a 3D Snake Robot. In *ICRA '03. Proc of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, volume 2, pages 2055– 2060, September 2003.
- [154] M. Yerly. YaMoR Lifelong Learning. Master's thesis, Computer Science Department, University of Fribourg, 2007.
- [155] M. Yim. *Locomotion with a unit-modular reconfigurable robot*. PhD thesis, Stanford University, December 1995. Available on-line: <http://www-db.stanford.edu/TR/CS-TR-95-1536.html> [Last visit May-10-2008].
- [156] M. Yim, D. Duff, and K. Roufas. Modular Reconfigurable Robots, An Aproach to Urban Search and Rescue. In *Proc. of 1st Intl. Workshop on Human-friendly welfare Robotic Systems*, pages 69–76, January 2000.
- [157] M. Yim, D.G. Duff, and K. D. Roufas. PolyBot: a modular reconfigurable robot. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, volume 1, pages 514–520, April 2000. Available on-line: <http://www2.parc.com/spl/projects/modrobots/publications/pdf/icra00.pdf> [Last visit: May-14-2008].
- [158] M. Yim, D.G. Duff, and Roufas K.D. Walk on the wild side. *IEEE robotics and automation magazine*, 9(4):49–53, 2002.
- [159] M. Yim, D. Goldberg, and A. Casal. Connectivity planning for closed-chain reconfiguration. In G. T. McKee and P. S. Schenker, editors, *Proc. SPIE Vol. 4196, p. 402-412, Sensor Fusion and Decentralized Control in Robotic Systems III, Gerard T. McKee; Paul S. Schenker; Eds.*, volume 4196 of *Presented at the Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference*, pages 402–412, October 2000.
- [160] M. Yim, R. Hinden, C. Conley, C.K. Wang, K. Roufas, and C. Eldershaw. Open Loop Climbing with Modular Robots. In *Video Proceeding of the IEEE Internationa Conference on Robotics and Automation*, 2006.
- [161] M. Yim, S. Homans, and K. Roufas. Climbing with Snake-like Robots. In *Proc. of the IFAC Workshop on Mobile Robot Technology*, 21 May 2001.
- [162] M. Yim, K. Roufas, and D. Duff. Modular Reconfigurable Robots in Space Applications. *Autonomous Robots*, 14(2-3):225–237, March 2003.

- [163] M. Yim, W. M. Shen, and B. Salemi. Modular self-reconfigurable robot systems. *IEEE Robotics and automation Magazine*, 14(1):43–52, 2007.
- [164] M. Yim, B. Shirmohammadi, and J. Sastra. Robustness and self-repair in modular robots. In *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 2553–2554, October 2007.
- [165] M. Yim, B. Shirmohammadi, and J. Sastra. Towards robotic self-reassembly after explosion. In *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 2767–2772, October 2007.
- [166] M. Yim, Y. Zhang, and D. Duff. Modular robots. *IEEE Spectrum*, 39(2):30–34, February 2002.
- [167] M. Yim, Y. Zhang, K. Roufas, D. Duff, and C. Eldershaw. Connecting and disconnecting for chain self-reconfiguration with PolyBot. *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, 7(4):442–451, December 2002.
- [168] E. Yoshida, A. Kamimura, and K. Tomita. A Self-Reconfigurable Modular Robot: Reconfiguration Planning and Experiments. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 21(10-11):903–915, 2002.
- [169] E. Yoshida, S. Murata, A. Kamimura, K. Tomita, H. Kurokawa, and S. Kokaji. A motion planning method for a self-reconfigurable modular robot. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, volume 1, pages 590–597, 2001.
- [170] H. Zhang, Z. Deng, and W. Wang. Novel Reconfigurable Robot with 3 DOF Active Joints for Rugged Terrain. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 5588 – 5593, October 2006.
- [171] H. Zhang, W. Wang, and Z. Deng. A Novel Reconfigurable Robot for Urban Search and Rescue. *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems*, 3(4):359–366, 2006.
- [172] Y. Zhang, A. Golovinsky, C. Eldershaw, and M. Yim. An XML-based scripting language for chain-type modular robotic systems. In *Proc of 8th Conference on Intelligent Autonomous Systems*, 2004.
- [173] Y. Zhang, K.D. Roufas, and M. Yim. Software architecture for modular self-reconfigurable robots. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, volume 4, pages 2355–2360, 2001.
- [174] Y. Zhang, M. Yim, C. Eldershaw, D. Duff, and K. Roufas. Phase automata: a programming model of locomotion gaits for scalable chain-type modular robots. In *IProceedings. of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on intelligent Robots and Systems*, volume 3, pages 2442–2447, 2003.
- [175] G. Zong, Z. Deng, and W. Wang. Realization of a Modular Reconfigurable Robot for Rough Terrain. In *Proceedings of the 2006 IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation*, pages 289–294, 2006.

- [176] V. Zykov, A. Chan, and H. Lipson. Molecubes: An Open-Source Modular Robotics Kit. In *Proc. of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and systems*, 2007.
- [177] V. Zykov, E. Mytilinaios, B. Adams, and H. Lipson. Self-reproducing machines. *Nature*, 435(7039):163–164, 2005.